

# Every Nearly Idempotent Plain Algebra Generates a Minimal Variety

Keith A. Kearnes <sup>\*</sup>  
Fachbereich Mathematik, AG1  
Technische Hochschule Darmstadt  
D 64289 Darmstadt  
Germany

An algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is **plain** if it is finite, simple and has no non-trivial proper subalgebras. An element  $0 \in A$  is an **idempotent element** if  $\{0\}$  is a subuniverse and is a **non-idempotent element** otherwise.  $\mathbf{A}$  is **idempotent** if each of its elements is idempotent. In this paper we shall say that  $\mathbf{A}$  is **nearly idempotent** if  $\mathbf{A}$  has at least one idempotent element and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$  acts transitively on the non-idempotent elements.

In [2], Ágnes Szendrei proves that every idempotent plain algebra generates a minimal variety by showing that an idempotent plain algebra with more than two elements generates a congruence modular variety. The proof is not long, but it relies on the classification theorem in [1] for idempotent plain algebras of size  $> 2$ . The proof in [1] of this classification theorem covers several pages. The argument in [2] is completed by directly examining the congruence modular case and the 2-element case and proving for both that an idempotent plain algebra generates a minimal variety. Here we give a short proof of the result using only “ $\mathbf{V} = \text{HSP}$ ”. With Theorem 4 we show how to boost the result to a proof that every nearly idempotent plain algebra generates a minimal variety.

We say that  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies condition (E) if  $\mathcal{V}$  has a unary term  $e$  such that for all basic operations  $f$  the identity  $f(e(x), \dots, e(x)) = e(x)$  holds. If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an idempotent plain algebra, then  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  satisfies condition (E) with  $e(x) = x$ .

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is plain and  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  is not minimal, then there is a plain algebra  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  which generates a minimal subvariety. Clearly,  $\mathbf{A} \not\cong \mathbf{B}$  in this case. Szendrei’s result can be deduced from the following lemma, since it shows that when  $\mathbf{A}$  is plain and idempotent and  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  is plain (and of course idempotent), then  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B}$ .

**LEMMA 1** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is plain,  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  satisfies condition (E) and  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{V}$  is idempotent and plain, then  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B}$ .*

**Proof:** Assuming the hypotheses of the lemma we can find  $m$ , a subalgebra  $\mathbf{C} \leq \mathbf{A}^m$  and a congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{C}/\theta \cong \mathbf{B}$ . Among all such situations, choose one so that  $|\mathbf{C}|$  is minimal. If  $\eta$  is a projection kernel restricted to  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\eta \leq \theta$ , then  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{C}/\eta) = \text{HS}(\mathbf{A})$ .  $\mathbf{A}$  is plain and  $\mathbf{B}$  is nontrivial, so this yields  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B}$  and finishes the proof. Otherwise, for each projection kernel  $\eta$  there is a pair  $(a, b) \in \eta - \theta$ . We claim that  $(e(a), e(b)) \in \eta - \theta$  as well.

Of course,  $(a, b) \in \eta$  implies  $(e(a), e(b)) \in \eta$ . Since  $\mathbf{C}/\theta \cong \mathbf{B}$  is idempotent,  $e(x) \theta x$  holds on  $\mathbf{C}$ . Hence  $e(a) \theta a$  and  $e(b) \theta b$  hold. Now  $(a, b) \notin \theta$  implies  $(e(a), e(b)) \notin \theta$  by transitivity.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by a fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung.

By condition (E),  $e(a)$  is an idempotent element of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Therefore  $D = e(a)/\eta$  is a subuniverse of  $\mathbf{C}$  containing  $e(a)$  and  $e(b)$ . Since  $\theta$  is nontrivial on  $D$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  is plain we must have  $\mathbf{D}/\theta \cong \mathbf{B}$ . By minimality we get  $C = D$ . Therefore  $C$  is a single  $\eta$ -class for any projection kernel  $\eta$ . This is impossible since the projection kernels intersect to zero.  $\square$

Now we begin the proof that every nearly idempotent plain algebra generates a minimal variety. We need two preparatory lemmas.

**LEMMA 2** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a nearly idempotent plain algebra, then  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  satisfies condition (E).*

**Proof:** Let  $U$  be the set of idempotent elements of  $\mathbf{A}$  and let  $e$  be a unary term of minimal range. Clearly,  $e(A) \supseteq U$ . If there is an element  $u \in e(A) - U$ , then the subalgebra generated by  $u$  equals  $\mathbf{A}$  since  $\mathbf{A}$  is plain. In particular, there is a unary term  $f$  such that  $f(u) \in U$ . But now  $fe$  has smaller range than  $e$  since  $f$  collapses two elements of  $e(A)$ . This contradiction proves that  $e(A) = U$ . This  $e$  satisfies the required identities.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3** *Assume that  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  where  $\mathbf{A}$  is plain, but not idempotent. If  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$  acts transitively on the non-idempotent elements of  $\mathbf{A}$ , then  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(1)$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $a \in A$  be a non-idempotent element. Since  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$  it suffices to observe that  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the universal mapping property with respect to the set  $\{a\}$  and some generating class of algebras for  $\mathcal{V}$ . We take  $\{\mathbf{A}\}$  for this generating class. Now any function  $f : \{a\} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  where  $f(a)$  is a non-idempotent element has an extension to some homomorphism  $\hat{f} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ . Simply take an  $\hat{f} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\hat{f}(a) = f(a)$ . This extension is unique since  $a$  generates  $\mathbf{A}$ . If instead  $f(a)$  is an idempotent element, then the constant map  $\hat{f} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} : x \mapsto f(a)$  is the unique extension of  $f$  to a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{A}$  to  $\mathbf{A}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 4** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is nearly idempotent and plain and  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  is plain, then  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B}$ . Hence every nearly idempotent plain algebra generates a minimal variety.*

**Proof:** Together, Lemmas 1 and 2 prove that if  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  is plain, then  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B}$  or else  $\mathbf{B}$  is not idempotent. But if the latter holds and  $u$  is a non-idempotent element of  $\mathbf{B}$ , then  $\mathbf{B} = \text{Sg}^{\mathbf{B}}(\{u\})$  is a non-trivial homomorphic image of  $\mathbf{A}$  by Lemma 3, so  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{B}$  holds in this case as well. The arguments in the paragraph preceding Lemma 1 explain why this conclusion proves that every nearly idempotent plain algebra generates a minimal variety.  $\square$

There is a plain algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  which does not generate a minimal variety but whose automorphism group acts transitively on the non-idempotent elements. To construct one such  $\mathbf{A}$ , begin with the idempotent reduct of a finite, 1-dimensional vector space and add in all the translations,  $x \mapsto x + a$ , as new unary operations.  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$  contains all the translations and so acts transitively on  $A$ . If  $\theta$  is the kernel of the function  $A \times A \rightarrow A : (x, y) \mapsto x - y$ , then  $(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A})/\theta$  generates a non-trivial, proper subvariety of  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$ .

There are also plain algebras with idempotent elements which do not generate minimal varieties. Of course, by Theorem 4 any such example must have at least 2 non-idempotent elements. To construct an example, let  $A$  be any set which properly contains  $\{0, 1\}$ . Take as basic operations all those operations  $p$  on  $A$  such that  $p(A^n) \neq A$  and  $p(w, \dots, w) = w$  for  $w \in A - \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}$  is plain and has only two non-idempotent elements.  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{A})$  is not minimal since the subvariety defined by all the identities of the form  $p(\bar{x}) = p(\bar{y})$  where  $p$  is a basic operation and  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  are arbitrary tuples of variables is proper and non-trivial. (A non-trivial member of this variety may be constructed as

a quotient of  $\mathbf{A}^{[A]}$ .) This paragraph and the preceding one show that neither of the two conditions defining the phrase “nearly idempotent” can be removed if the result of Theorem 4 is to hold.

The paper [3] introduces a class of examples of nearly idempotent plain algebras with exactly one idempotent element. These algebras are used in [4] to provide examples of minimal, locally finite varieties of groupoids which are inherently non-finitely based.

**Acknowledgement.** We thank the anonymous referee whose suggestions for reorganization substantially shortened the proof.

## References

- [1] Á. Szendrei, *Idempotent algebras with restrictions on subalgebras*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **51** (1987), 251–268.
- [2] Á. Szendrei, *Every idempotent plain algebra generates a minimal variety*, Algebra Universalis, **25** (1988), 36–39.
- [3] Á. Szendrei, *Term minimal algebras*, to appear in Algebra Universalis.
- [4] Á. Szendrei, *Nonfinitely based finite groupoids generating minimal varieties*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **57** (1993), 593–600.