

HOMEWORK 1

RAYMOND BAKER

PROVE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS TO BE EQUIVALENT IN $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\}$

Fnd

$$\phi \equiv \neg \exists f (f \text{ is a function} \wedge \text{dmn}(f) = \omega \wedge \forall n \in \omega (f(n+1) \in f(n)))$$

Proof. To prove that these two statements are equivalent under $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\}$, we will see that $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\} \cup \{\text{Fnd}\} = \text{ZFC} \vdash \phi$ and $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\} \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \text{Fnd}$. To prove the former, we will utilize a proof by contradiction and show that $\text{ZFC} \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is inconsistent.

Take the set of premises $\text{ZFC} \cup \{\neg\phi\}$. From $\neg\phi$ it follows that $\exists f (f \text{ is a function} \wedge \text{dmn}(f) = \omega \wedge \forall n (n \in \omega \rightarrow f(n+1) \in f(n)))$. But $\text{rng}(f)$ is also a set. Since, the domain is ω , we know that $\text{rng}(f)$ is non-empty. So, applying the axiom of foundations to f , we see that there is some $x \in \text{rng}(f)$ such that $x \cap \text{rng}(f) = \emptyset$. But, we have that $x = f(n)$ for some $n \in \omega$. By the definition of f , $f(n+1) \in x$. But $f(n+1) \in \text{rng}(f)$ implying $f(n+1) \in x \cap \text{rng}(f) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Since $\text{ZFC} \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is inconsistent, we may conclude that $\text{ZFC} \vdash \neg\neg\phi$, i.e. $\text{ZFC} \vdash \phi$.

Now, to prove that $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\} \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \text{Fnd}$, we will prove the contrapositive, i.e. demonstrating that $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\} \cup \{\neg\text{Fnd}\} \vdash \neg\phi$. So, take the premises $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\} \cup \{\neg\text{Fnd}\}$. From $\neg\text{Fnd}$, it follows that $\exists x (x \neq \emptyset \wedge \forall y \in x (y \cap x \neq \emptyset))$. Denote such a set with the symbol A . Using the General Recursion Theorem, we will construct a function f with $\text{rng}(f) \subseteq A$ satisfying $\neg\phi$. In order to construct this function, we will invoke the axiom of choice. We will use the Choice Function Principle, shown to be equivalent to the axiom of choice. Since A is non-empty, $\mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is a family of non-empty subsets of A . Let C be a choice function on $\mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Define $G : \omega \times V \rightarrow V$ by

$$G(n, v) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } n = 0 \\ C(v \upharpoonright n \cap A) & \text{if } n = m + 1, v \text{ is a function with } \text{dmn} = n, \text{ and } v(m) \cap A \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By the recursion theorem, there exists an $f : \omega \rightarrow V$ such that $f(n) = G(n, f \upharpoonright_n)$, for all $n \in \omega$. Clearly f is a function and $\text{dmn}(f) = \omega$. We will prove by induction that f satisfies $\forall n \in \omega (f(n+1) \in f(n))$. Consider the set $S = \{n \in \omega : f(n+1) \in f(n)\}$. We have that $f(0) = A$. Additionally, $f(1) = G(1, f \upharpoonright_1)$. Clearly $1 = 0 + 1$, $f \upharpoonright_1$ is a function with domain 1 and $f(0) \cap A = A$ is non-empty, so $f(1) = C(f \upharpoonright_1(0) \cap A) = C(A) \in A$. Thus $f(1) \in f(0)$ and $0 \in S$. Take $n \neq 0$ and assume that $n \in S$. Since $f(n+1) \in f(n) \neq \emptyset$, we may conclude that $f(n) = G(n, f \upharpoonright_n) = C(f \upharpoonright_n(m) \cap A)$,

where $n = m + 1$. Thus $f(n) \in A$. By the selection of A , $f(n) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $f(n+1) = G(n+1, f \upharpoonright_{(n+1)}) = C(f \upharpoonright_{(n+1)}(n) \cap A)$. So $f(n+1) \in A$. It follows that $f(n+1) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Now consider $f(n+2) = G(n+2, f \upharpoonright_{(n+2)})$. Clearly $n+2 = (n+1) + 1$, $f \upharpoonright_{(n+2)}$ is a function with $\text{dmn} = n+2$, and $f \upharpoonright_{(n+2)}(n+1) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. So, $f(n+2) = C(f \upharpoonright_{(n+2)}(n+1) \cap A)$. It follows that $f(n+2) \in f(n+1)$. Thus, $n+1 \in S$. By the inductive principle for ω , $S = \omega$. So, $\forall n \in \omega (f(n+1) \in f(n))$. Therefore, $\exists f (f \text{ is a function} \wedge \text{dmn}(f) = \omega \wedge \forall n \in \omega (f(n+1) \in f(n)))$, which implies $\neg \exists f (f \text{ is a function} \wedge \text{dmn}(f) = \omega \wedge \forall n \in \omega (f(n+1) \in f(n)))$. Thus, $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\} \cup \{\neg \text{Fnd}\} \vdash \neg \phi$. So we may conclude that $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\} \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \text{Fnd}$.

Consequently, Fnd and ϕ are equivalent under $\text{ZFC} \setminus \{\text{Fnd}\}$. \square