

Set Theory (MATH 6730)

**HOMEWORK 3**

(First draft is due on April 12, 2021)

**Problems:**

3. (i) Show that if  $\langle \kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \beta \rangle$  is a strictly increasing sequence of cardinals and  $\kappa = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \kappa_\alpha$ , then  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \text{cf}(\beta)$ .  
(ii) Let  $\lambda < \lambda'$  be infinite cardinals. Use the statement in part (i) to construct a strictly increasing sequence  $\langle \kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \beta \rangle$  of cardinals (for an appropriate choice of  $\beta$ ) such that for  $\kappa = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \kappa_\alpha$  we have that

$$\kappa^\lambda < \kappa^{\lambda'}.$$

*Proof.* We prove (i). Let  $A = \{\kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\}$ . Since  $\langle \kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \beta \rangle$  is strictly increasing,  $A$  is order isomorphic to  $\beta$ . Then  $\text{cf}(A) = \text{cf}(\beta)$ . Now note that  $\kappa = \sup(A)$ . So any unbounded set in  $A$  is also unbounded in  $\kappa$ . Hence  $\text{cf}(\kappa) \leq \text{cf}(A)$ .

Let  $\lambda = \text{cf}(\kappa)$ . Let  $f : \lambda \rightarrow \kappa$  be a strict order preserving function such that  $f[\lambda]$  is unbounded in  $\kappa$ . The existence of such a function is asserted in Theorem 4.11(i). We define  $\mathbf{G} : \lambda \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow A \cup \emptyset$  as follows.

$$\mathbf{G}(\alpha, x) = \begin{cases} \min\{a \in A : a > x(\gamma), \forall \gamma < \alpha \text{ and } a > f(\alpha)\} & \text{if } \alpha < \lambda \text{ and} \\ & x \text{ is a function with domain } \alpha \text{ and} \\ & \{a \in A : a > x(\gamma), \forall \gamma < \alpha \\ & \text{and } a > f(\alpha)\} \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By the Transfinite Recursion Theorem, there exists a class function  $\mathbf{F} : \lambda \rightarrow A \cup \emptyset$  such that  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) = \mathbf{G}(\alpha, \mathbf{F} \upharpoonright \alpha)$  for  $\alpha < \lambda$ . We have that  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) \in A$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . We prove this by transfinite induction. Assume  $\alpha < \lambda$  and  $\mathbf{F}(\gamma) \in A$  for all  $\gamma < \alpha$ . To prove  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) \in A$ , it suffices to show the set  $\{a \in A : a > \mathbf{F}(\gamma), \forall \gamma < \alpha \text{ and } a > f(\alpha)\}$  is nonempty. The set  $\mathbf{F}[\alpha]$  has cardinality at most  $|\alpha| < \lambda \leq \text{cf}(A)$ .  $\mathbf{F}[\alpha]$  cannot be unbounded in  $A$ , so  $\mathbf{F}[\alpha]$  has an upper bound in  $A$ , say  $\kappa_\tau$ , where  $\tau < \beta$ . Also,  $f(\alpha) \in \kappa$ , meaning  $f(\alpha) \in \kappa_\sigma$  for some  $\sigma < \beta$ . Then  $\max\{\kappa_{\tau+1}, \kappa_{\sigma+1}\} \in \{a \in A : a > \mathbf{F}(\gamma), \forall \gamma < \alpha \text{ and } a > f(\alpha)\}$  and  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ . We have that  $\mathbf{F}$  is strictly increasing. Take any  $\alpha < \alpha'$ . Then  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha') = \min\{a \in A : a > \mathbf{F}(\gamma), \forall \gamma < \alpha' \text{ and } a > f(\alpha')\} > \mathbf{F}(\alpha)$ .

Now we want to show that  $\mathbf{F}[\lambda]$  is unbounded in  $A$ . Suppose there exists some  $a \in A$  such that  $a > \mathbf{F}(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . We have that

$$\mathbf{F}(\alpha) = \min\{a \in A : a > x(\gamma), \forall \gamma < \alpha \text{ and } a > f(\alpha)\} > f(\alpha)$$

for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . So  $a > \mathbf{F}(\alpha) > f(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \lambda$ . Then  $a < \kappa$  is an upper bound of  $f[\lambda]$ , a contradiction. Hence there can be no such bound  $a \in A$ . So we have that  $\mathbf{F}[\lambda]$  is an unbounded subset of  $A$  with cardinality at most  $\lambda = \text{cf}(\kappa)$ . Then  $\text{cf}(A) \leq \text{cf}(\kappa)$ .

We now construct the example in (ii). Let  $\lambda < \lambda'$  be a pair of fixed infinite cardinals. We define  $\mathbf{G} : \lambda^+ \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{On}$  as follows

$$\mathbf{G}(\alpha, x) = \begin{cases} \lambda' & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ (x(\gamma)^\lambda)^+ & \text{if } \alpha = \gamma + 1 < \lambda^+ \\ & \text{and } x \text{ is a function with domain } \alpha \text{ and cardinal values} \\ \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} x(\gamma) & \text{if } \alpha < \lambda^+ \text{ is a limit ordinal} \\ & \text{and } x \text{ is a function with domain } \alpha \text{ and cardinal values} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By the Transfinite Recursion Theorem, there exists a class function  $\mathbf{F} : \lambda^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Card}$  such that  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) = \mathbf{G}(\alpha, \mathbf{F} \upharpoonright \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda^+$ . All values of  $\mathbf{G}$  were cardinals, so  $\mathbf{F}$  has cardinal values. Note that  $\mathbf{F}$  is continuous since for limit ordinals  $\alpha$  we have that  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) = \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} \mathbf{F}(\gamma)$ . Also, for every successor ordinal  $\alpha + 1$ , we have  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha + 1) = (\mathbf{F}(\alpha)^\lambda)^+ > \mathbf{F}(\alpha)$ . Then by Theorem 5.2(ii) of the ordinal lecture notes, we have that  $\mathbf{F}$  is strictly increasing.

Denote  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha)$  by  $\kappa_\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \lambda^+$ . Let  $\kappa = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda^+} \kappa_\alpha$ . Clearly,  $\kappa > 2$  and  $\kappa > \lambda' > \lambda$ . Let  $\mu < \kappa$  be a cardinal. Then there must exist some  $\alpha < \lambda^+$  with  $\kappa_\alpha > \mu$ . Then  $\mu^\lambda \leq \kappa_\alpha^\lambda < \kappa_{\alpha+1} < \kappa$ . By part (i), we have that  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \text{cf}(\lambda^+) = \lambda^+ > \lambda$ . By the Main Theorem of Cardinal Arithmetic(iii)<sub>2</sub> we have that  $\kappa^\lambda = \kappa$ . Since  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \lambda^+ \leq \lambda'$ , by the Main Theorem of Cardinal Arithmetic(iii)<sub>1</sub> we have  $\kappa^{\lambda'} = \kappa^{\text{cf}(\kappa)} = \kappa^{\lambda^+}$ . So using König's Theorem on cofinality, we have  $\kappa^\lambda = \kappa < \kappa^{\text{cf}(\kappa)} = \kappa^{\lambda^+} \leq \kappa^{\lambda'}$ , as desired.  $\square$

---