

### SET THEORY HOMEWORK 3

CHASE MEADORS

**Problem 6.** Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable regular cardinal, and view  $\kappa$  as a topological space where the open sets are the unions of intervals. Prove that for every metric space  $(X, \rho)$  and continuous function  $h : \kappa \rightarrow X$  there is a  $\beta < \kappa$  such that  $h(\alpha) = h(\beta)$  for all  $\alpha \geq \beta$ .

---

For any  $\alpha \neq 0$  in  $\kappa$  and  $n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$ , consider the set  $O_{n,\alpha} = h^{-1}(B_{\alpha,n})$ , where  $B_{n,\alpha} = B(h(\alpha), \frac{1}{2n})$  is an open ball around the image of  $\alpha$  (note that the diameter of  $B_{n,\alpha}$  is at most  $1/n$ ). As  $h$  is continuous,  $O_{n,\alpha}$  is open and must contain some open interval around  $\alpha$ . Then we may define

$$\varphi_n(\alpha) = \min \{ \delta : \delta < \alpha \text{ and } (\delta, \gamma) \subseteq O_{n,\alpha} \text{ for some } \gamma > \alpha \}$$

With this, we obtain a countable family of regressive functions  $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  (define  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$ ). Note that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  implies  $\varphi_n(\alpha) \leq \varphi_n(\beta)$  (if  $\varphi_n(\beta) < \varphi_n(\alpha)$ , the interval  $(\varphi_n(\beta), \gamma)$  containing  $\beta$  also contains  $\alpha$ , contradicting the minimality of  $\varphi_n(\alpha)$ ).

Since the  $\varphi_n$  are regressive and  $\kappa$  is uncountable and regular, Fodor's lemma now gives a stationary set  $S_n \subseteq \kappa$  on which  $\varphi_n$  is constant, which is necessarily unbounded in  $\kappa$ . In fact, letting  $\alpha_n := \min S_n$ , it must be the case that  $\varphi_n$  is constant on  $[\alpha_n, \kappa)$ . Indeed, if  $\beta \geq \alpha_n$ , there is a  $\beta' > \beta$  in  $S_n$  with  $\varphi_n(\beta') = \varphi_n(\alpha_n)$ ; then we have  $\alpha_n \leq \beta \leq \beta'$  and thus  $\varphi_n(\beta) = \varphi_n(\alpha_n)$  from the order preserving property noted earlier. This means that for any two ordinals  $\beta \leq \beta'$  in  $[\alpha_n, \kappa)$ , there is an open interval  $(\varphi_n(\alpha_n), \gamma)$  containing  $\beta'$  and thus  $\beta$  that is mapped by  $h$  into  $B_{n,\beta'}$ ; in particular,  $\rho(h(\beta), h(\beta')) < 1/n$ .

Now consider  $\lambda = \sup_{n < \omega} \alpha_n < \kappa$  (since  $\kappa$  is uncountable and regular,  $\{\alpha_n\}$  cannot be unbounded in  $\kappa$ ). For any  $\beta \leq \beta'$  in  $[\lambda, \kappa)$ , we have  $\beta, \beta' \geq \alpha_n$  for each  $n \in \omega$ ; thus  $\rho(h(\beta), h(\beta')) < 1/n$  for each  $n$ . That is, we must have  $h(\beta) = h(\beta')$ . In particular,  $h(\beta) = h(\lambda)$  for all  $\beta \geq \lambda$ .