## An Overview of our Metaethical Debate

Do moral statements make claims (or assert propositions)?

YES: Cognitivism

<u>Problem</u>: Motivational Internalism (not resp.)

NO: Non-Cognitivism

<u>Problems</u>: Linguistic Evidence: Embedding Problem; Frege-Geach Problem

 $\mathbf{\Psi}$ 

Do moral claims purport to attribute <u>objective</u> or <u>subjective</u> properties?

**L** 

**Subjectivism/Constructivism** Problems:

- Moore/Sidgwick Argument
- Euthyphro/Arbitrariness

Do moral claims purport to attribute irreducible or reducible properties?

**∠** Poductionics

**Reductionism** Problems:

for Analytic Reductionism:
- OQA (Moore, Ayer, Hare)
for Synthetic Reductionism

- see Huemer (not. resp.)

(NOTE: up until now, investigation is largely *semantic*, about the meaning of moral terms, about what moral statements are trying to say.)



12

## Assuming that moral claims purport to attribute objective, irreducible moral properties to things, does anything ever actually have these properties?

YES: Non-Reductive Realism

NO: Nihilism
(or the Error Theory)

Problems:

- too implausible on its face?
- G.E. Moore shift (not resp.; see Huemer §5.5

Are these objective, irreducible, instantiated properties natural or not?

Z y

Non-Reductive Naturalism (not resp.; see Huemer §4.4.2 if interested)

Non-Naturalism (or Intuitionism) Problems:

- moral knowledge
- moral supervenience
- moral disagreement