## An Overview of our Metaethical Exploration PHIL 3100 — Ethical Theory — Spring 2015

Do moral statements make claims (or assert propositions)?

YES: Cognitivism

Problem: Motivational Judgment Internalism

<u>NO</u>: Non-Cognitivism Problems:

- Linguistic Evidence:
- Embedding Problem;
- Frege-Geach Problem

Do moral claims purport to attribute objective or subjective properties?

Subjectivism

(a.k.a. Constructivism)

**Problems**:

- Moore's Argument from Disagreement
- Euthyphro/Arbitrariness

Do moral claims purport to attribute irreducible or reducible properties?

Reductionism / Reductive Naturalism
<a href="Problem">Problem</a> for Analytic/A Priori Reductionism:

- Open Question Argument (Moore, Ayer)1

Given that moral claims purport to attribute objective, irreducible, moral properties to things, does anything ever actually have these properties?

YES: Non-Naturalism

(a.k.a. Intuitionism)

Problems:

- Moral Knowledge
- Moral Supervenience
- Moral Disagreement<sup>2</sup>

NO: Nihilism

(a.k.a. the Error Theory)

Problems:

- Too implausible on its face?
- G.E. Moore shift (Huemer §5.5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: up until now, investigation is largely semantic, or about the meaning of moral terms, or what moral statements are saying or doing. It's not about the way extra-linguistic reality is.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This overview contains important omissions: Synthetic/A *Posteriori* Reductionism (see Huemer §4.4); and Non-Reductive Naturalism