

# Economic equilibrium and optimization problems using GAMS

## Notes 8: open (trading) economy models

James R. Markusen  
University of Colorado, Boulder

Small open economy

Small open economy 2x2 (two goods, two factors) in which the rest of the world is not explicitly modeled.

Known as the “small-country assumption”: the country faces fixed world prices

Trading opportunities are summarized by simple functions which allow the economy to transform one good (an export) into another (an import).

The “technology” of these functions represents world prices. We will assume that these technologies or price ratios are fixed in this model.

Country exports  $X_1$  in exchange for  $X_2$  (activities E1, M2)

We will allow for the fact that some policy or endowment change could actually reverse the direction of trade by specifying (initially inactive) functions that transform goods in the opposite direction.

Initial data, in which 50 units of good  $X_1$  are exchanged for 50 units of good  $X_2$  at an implicit price ratio of  $p_1/p_2 = 1$ .

## Production Sectors

## Consumer

Markets	x1	x2	E1	M2	W	CONS
P1	150		-50		-100	
P2		50		50	-100	
PL	-135	-5				140
PK	-15	-45				60
PW					200	-200
PFX			50	-50		

Technology parameters are specified in these functions that allow the modeler to change the terms of trade.

## PARAMETERS

PE2	Export price of good 2,
PM1	Import price of good 1,
PE1	Export price of good 1,
PM2	Import price of good 2,
TM2	Import tariff for good 2;

PE1 = 1;

PM2 = 1;

PE2 = 0.999;

PM1 = 1.001;

E1 and M2 production activities that are the initially active trade links.

Small trade costs on inactive links prevent model degeneracy and “round tripping”.

If all prices = 1, then if  $E1 = M2 = 1$  is a solution, then so is  $E1 = 2, M1 = 1, M2 = 1$ .

While we could specify this activity as directly transforming  $X_1$  into  $X_2$ , in more complicated models it proves useful to define another good which we will call “foreign exchange” and whose price is denoted PFX.

All trade is mediated through the “foreign exchange market”.

Thus activity E1 transforms  $X_1$  into foreign exchange and M2, the import activity for good 2, transforms foreign exchange into imports of good 2.

There are four trade activities as just noted.

$$\text{PRF\_E1} \dots 0 * P1 =G= 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE1} ;$$

$$\text{PRF\_E2} \dots 50 * P2 =G= 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE2} ;$$

$$\text{PRF\_M1} \dots 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM1} =G= 50 * P1 ;$$

$$\text{PRF\_M2} \dots 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} =G= 50 * P2 ;$$

The equation for “foreign exchange is the trade balance condition:

$$\text{MKT\_PFX} \dots 50 * \text{E2} * \text{PE2} + 50 * \text{E1} * \text{PE1} =G= 50 * \text{PM2} * \text{M2} + 50 * \text{PM1} * \text{M1} ;$$

\$TITLE M8-1.GMS: Small open economy 2x2

\* *strips out trade costs and tariffs for simplicity of exposition*

\$ONTEXT

*CALIBRATION: country exports X1, imports X2  
in free-trade SOE*

	Production Sectors					Consumer	
Markets		X1	X2	E1	M2	W	CONS
P1		150		-50		-100	
P2			50		50	-100	
PL		-135	-5				140
PK		-15	-45				60
PW						200	-200
PFX				50	-50		

\$OFFTEXT

\* *the first four parameters allow changes in (exogenous) world prices*

### PARAMETERS

PE2	Export price of good 2	/0.999/
PM1	Import price of good 1	/1.001/

PE1	Export price of good 1	/1/
PM2	Import price of good 2	/1/;

### NONNEGATIVE VARIABLES

X1	Activity level for sector X1
X2	Activity level for sector X2
E1	Activity level for sector E1
E2	Activity level for sector E2
M1	Activity level for sector M1
M2	Activity level for sector M2
W	Activity level for sector W
P1	Price index for commodity X
P2	Price index for commodity Y
PL	Price index for primary factor L
PK	Price index for primary factor K
PW	Price index for welfare (consumer price index)
PFX	Real exchange rate index
CONS	Income definition for CONS;

### EQUATIONS

PRF_X1	Zero profit for sector X1
PRF_X2	Zero profit for sector X2
PRF_E1	Zero profit for sector E1
PRF_E2	Zero profit for sector E2



PRF\_M1 Zero profit for sector M1  
 PRF\_M2 Zero profit for sector M2  
 PRF\_W Zero profit for sector W

MKT\_X1 Supply-demand balance for commodity X1  
 MKT\_X2 Supply-demand balance for commodity X2  
 MKT\_PFX Supply-demand balance for commodity PFX  
 MKT\_L Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_K Supply-demand balance for primary factor K  
 MKT\_W Supply-demand balance for aggregate demand

I\_CONS Income definition for CONS;

\* *Zero profit conditions*

PRF\_X1..  $150 * PL^{0.9} * PK^{0.1} = G = 150 * P1;$

PRF\_X2..  $50 * PL^{0.1} * PK^{0.9} = G = 50 * P2;$

PRF\_E1..  $50 * P1 = G = 50 * PFX * PE1;$

PRF\_E2..  $50 * P2 = G = 50 * PFX * PE2;$

PRF\_M1..  $50 * PFX * PM1 = G = 50 * P1;$

PRF\_M2..  $50 * PFX * PM2 = G = 50 * P2;$

PRF\_W..         $100 * P1^{**0.5} * P2^{**0.5} =G= 100 * PW;$

\*        *Market clearance conditions*

MKT\_X1..         $150 * X1 + 50 * M1 =G= 50 * E1 + 100 * W * PW / P1;$

MKT\_X2..         $50 * X2 + 50 * M2 =G= 50 * E2 + 100 * W * PW / P2 ;$

MKT\_PFX..         $50 * E2 * PE2 + 50 * E1 * PE1 =G= 50 * PM2 * M2 + 50 * PM1 * M1;$

MKT\_W..         $200 * W =G= CONS / PW;$

MKT\_L..         $140 =G= 135 * X1 * P1 / PL + 5 * X2 * P2 / PL;$

MKT\_K..         $60 =G= 15 * X1 * P1 / PK + 45 * X2 * P2 / PK;$

\*        *Income balance*

I\_CONS..         $CONS =E= 140 * PL + 60 * PK;$

**MODEL** SOE1 /PRF\_X1.X1, PRF\_X2.X2, PRF\_E1.E1, PRF\_E2.E2,  
                   PRF\_M1.M1, PRF\_M2.M2, PRF\_W.W,  
                   MKT\_X1.P1, MKT\_X2.P2, MKT\_PFX.PFX, MKT\_L.PL,  
                   MKT\_K.PK, MKT\_W.PW, I\_CONS.CONS /;

\* *set SOE values:*

X1.L =1;

X2.L =1;

E2.L =0;

M1.L =0;

E1.L =1;

M2.L =1;

W.L =1;

P1.L =1;

P2.L =1;

PFX.L =1;

PK.L =1;

PL.L =1;

CONS.L =200;

\* *choose the real consumer price index as numeraire*

PW.FX =1;

\* *check for calibration and starting-value errors*

SOE1.ITERLIM = 0;

**SOLVE** SOE1 USING MCP;

SOE1.ITERLIM = 2000;

**SOLVE** SOE1 USING MCP;

*\* counterfactual: a terms-of-trade improvement*

PE1 = 1.2;

PM1 = 1.21;

SOE1.ITERLIM = 2000;

**SOLVE** SOE1 USING MCP;

## 8.2 Small open economy: tariffs versus trade costs

### Zero-profit conditions for trade activities

- PFX - price of a unit of “foreign exchange”  
 TC - gross real trade cost: 1 + the trade-cost rate  
 TR - gross tariff: 1 + the ad valorem tariff rate

TC = TR = 1: costless trade: tariffs, trade costs *appear* symmetric

$$\text{PRF\_E1} \dots 50 * P1 =G= 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE1};$$

$$\text{PRF\_E2} \dots 50 * P2 =G= 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE2};$$

$$\text{PRF\_M1} \dots 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM1} * \text{TC} * \text{TR} =G= 50 * P1;$$

$$\text{PRF\_M2} \dots 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} * \text{TC} * \text{TR} =G= 50 * P2;$$

TC and TR appear the same way in the pricing equations. However, they are quite different from one another.

Modeling a tariff that is costlessly collected and redistributed to consumers lump sum.

Recall that TR is defined as the gross tariff (one plus the ad valorem rate), so  $(TR - 1)$  is the tariff rate. The tariff revenue from equation PRF\_M1 is:

$$[ 50 * PFX * PM2 ] * (TR - 1) * M2$$

The term in brackets [ ] is the cost of 50 units (the benchmark value) of imports of good 2,  $(TR - 1)$  is the tariff rate, and M2 is the activity level, calibrated at one initially.

The assumption that the tariff can be costlessly redistributed means that this amount must be entered in the consumer's budget constraint:  $\text{Income} = \text{factor income} + \text{tariff revenue}$ .

$$\text{CONS} = E = 140 * P_L + 60 * P_K + 50 * P_{FX} * P_{M2} * (TR - 1) * M_2 ;$$

Modeling an “iceberg” trade cost. Assume that the trade cost is paid in units of the good itself.

This is sometimes referred to as “iceberg trade costs”. Some of the good “melts” in transit and less arrives than is shipped.

Let  $p^*$  and  $M$  be the price received by the exporter and  $M$  be the number of units shipped.

Then the price paid by the importer is  $(p^*TC)$ . The quantity received by the importer is  $(M/TC)$ : the quantity that arrives unmelted.

Note that revenue received by the exporter and paid by the importer are the same: the payments do and must balance.

$$p^*M = (p^*TC)(M/TC)$$

The trade cost obviously does not generate any revenue and does not become a term in the consumer's budget constraint.

However, it reappears in the model in the supply = demand equations for the two goods: the amount entered is the amount received, not the amount shipped.



The market-clearing conditions for the two goods are production plus imports (received) minus exports equal domestic demand.

$$150 * X1 + 50 * M1 / TC = G = 50 * E1 + 100 * W * PW / P1$$

$$50 * X2 + 50 * M2 / TC = G = 50 * E2 + 100 * W * PW / P2$$

Finally, there is a market-clearing condition for foreign exchange that determines its price (PFX):

earnings from exports = import costs

$$50 * E2 * PE2 + 50 * E1 * PE1 = G = 50 * PM2 * M2 + 50 * PM1 * M1 ;$$

Counter-factual: show the welfare effects of a tariff versus a real trade costs.

Two sets are defined:

I will loop over values of trade costs or tariffs

J will denote the tariff and trade cost scenarios respectively.

Then some two-dimensional parameters are defined in order to extract some results after solving.

The \* in RESULTS(I, \*) is called a “wild card” and its use should be obvious below.

```
SETS I indexes 25 different gross cost levels      /I1*I25/
      J indexes 2 scenarios: 1 = tar 2 = trade cost /J1*J2/;
```

## PARAMETERS

```

RATE ( I )
WELFARE ( I , J )
IMP2 ( I , J )
TRATE ( I , J )
TCOST ( I , J )
RESULTS ( I , * ) ;

```

Then there is the double loop, the inner loop over I sets the cost rate, starting at 1.

For J = 1, the rate is a tariff, for J = 2, the rate is a trade costs.

```

LOOP ( J ,
LOOP ( I ,

TC = 1 ; TR = 1 ;
RATE ( I ) = 1 + .05 * ORD ( I ) - 0.05 ;
TR$ ( ORD ( J ) EQ 1 ) = RATE ( I ) ;
TC$ ( ORD ( J ) EQ 2 ) = RATE ( I ) ;

```

## The *left-hand* exception operator \$

```
TR$(ORD(J) EQ 1) = RATE(I);
```

is read “set the parameter TR equal to RATE if the ordinal value of J is equal to one, otherwise leave TR unchanged from it’s existing value”.

For future reference, the meaning is different if the exception operator is on *the right-hand* side.

```
TR= RATE(I)$ (ORD(J) EQ 1);
```

is read “set the tariff TR equal to RATE if the ordinal value of J is equal to one, otherwise set TR equal to *zero*”. This is something quite different!

The parameter  $\text{IMP}(I, J)$  extracts the equilibrium value of exports in the two scenarios.

```
SOLVE ALGEBRAIC USING MCP;
```

```
WELFARE(I, J) = W.L;
IMP2(I, J) = M2.L/TC;
```

```
);
```

```
);
```

```
RESULTS(I, "RATE") = RATE(I);
RESULTS(I, "WELTR") = WELFARE(I, "J1");
RESULTS(I, "WELTC") = WELFARE(I, "J2");
RESULTS(I, "IMP2TR") = IMP2(I, "J1");
RESULTS(I, "IMP2TC") = IMP2(I, "J2");
```

```
DISPLAY RESULTS;
```

\$TITLE M8-2.GMS: Small open economy 2x2

\* *contrasts tariffs versus (iceberg) trade costs*

\$ONTEXT

*CALIBRATION: country exports X1, imports X2  
in free-trade benchmark*

	<i>Production Sectors</i>					<i>Consumer</i>
<i>Markets</i>	<i>X1</i>	<i>X2</i>	<i>E1</i>	<i>M2</i>	<i>W</i>	<i>CONS</i>
<i>P1</i>	150		-50		-100	
<i>P2</i>		50		50	-100	
<i>PL</i>	-135	-5				140
<i>PK</i>	-15	-45				60
<i>PW</i>					200	-200
<i>PFX</i>			50	-50		

\$OFFTEXT

\* *the first four parameters allow changes in (exogenous) world*

### PARAMETERS

PE2	Export price of good 2	/0.999/
PM1	Import price of good 1	/1.001/

PE1	Export price of good 1	/1/
PM2	Import price of good 2	/1/
TR	Import tariff (gross basis)	/1/
TC	Trade costs (gross basis)	/1/
CONSX1	Consumption of X1	
CONSX2	Consumption of X2;	

### NONNEGATIVE VARIABLES

X1	Activity level for sector X1
X2	Activity level for sector X2
E1	Activity level for sector E1
E2	Activity level for sector E2
M1	Activity level for sector M1
M2	Activity level for sector M2
W	Activity level for sector W
P1	Price index for commodity X
P2	Price index for commodity Y
PL	Price index for primary factor L
PK	Price index for primary factor K
PW	Price index for welfare (consumer price index)
PFX	Real exchange rate index
CONS	Income definition for CONS;

**EQUATIONS**

PRF\_X1 Zero profit for sector X1  
 PRF\_X2 Zero profit for sector X2  
 PRF\_E1 Zero profit for sector E1  
 PRF\_E2 Zero profit for sector E2  
 PRF\_M1 Zero profit for sector M1  
 PRF\_M2 Zero profit for sector M2  
 PRF\_W Zero profit for sector W

MKT\_X1 Supply-demand balance for commodity X1  
 MKT\_X2 Supply-demand balance for commodity X2  
 MKT\_PFX Supply-demand balance for commodity PFX  
 MKT\_L Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_K Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_W Supply-demand balance for aggregate demand

I\_CONS Income definition for CONS;

\* *Zero profit conditions*

PRF\_X1..  $150 * PL^{(0.9)} * PK^{(0.1)} = G = 150 * P1;$

PRF\_X2..  $50 * PL^{(0.1)} * PK^{(0.9)} = G = 50 * P2;$

PRF\_E1..  $50 * P1 = G = 50 * PFX * PE1;$



$$\text{PRF\_E2..} \quad 50 * P2 = G = 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE2};$$

$$\text{PRF\_M1..} \quad 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM1} * \text{TC} * \text{TR} = G = 50 * P1;$$

$$\text{PRF\_M2..} \quad 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} * \text{TC} * \text{TR} = G = 50 * P2;$$

$$\text{PRF\_W..} \quad 100 * P1^{**0.5} * P2^{**0.5} = G = 100 * \text{PW};$$

\* *Market clearance conditions*

$$\text{MKT\_X1..} \quad 150 * X1 + 50 * \text{M1} / \text{TC} = G = 50 * E1 + 100 * \text{W} * \text{PW} / P1;$$

$$\text{MKT\_X2..} \quad 50 * X2 + 50 * \text{M2} / \text{TC} = G = 50 * E2 + 100 * \text{W} * \text{PW} / P2 ;$$

$$\text{MKT\_PFX..} \quad 50 * E2 * \text{PE2} + 50 * E1 * \text{PE1} = G = 50 * \text{PM2} * \text{M2} + 50 * \text{PM1} * \text{M1};$$

$$\text{MKT\_W..} \quad 200 * \text{W} = G = \text{CONS} / \text{PW};$$

$$\text{MKT\_L..} \quad 140 = G = 135 * X1 * P1 / \text{PL} + 5 * X2 * P2 / \text{PL};$$

$$\text{MKT\_K..} \quad 60 = G = 15 * X1 * P1 / \text{PK} + 45 * X2 * P2 / \text{PK};$$

\* *Income balance*

$$\text{I\_CONS..} \quad \text{CONS} = E = 140 * \text{PL} + 60 * \text{PK} + 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} * (\text{TR} - 1) * \text{M2};$$

```
MODEL SOE /PRF_X1.X1, PRF_X2.X2, PRF_E1.E1, PRF_E2.E2,  
          PRF_M1.M1, PRF_M2.M2, PRF_W.W,  
          MKT_X1.P1, MKT_X2.P2, MKT_PFX.PFX, MKT_L.PL,  
          MKT_K.PK, MKT_W.PW, I_CONS.CONS /;
```

```
*      set benchmark values:
```

```
X1.L      =1;  
X2.L      =1;  
E2.L      =0;  
M1.L      =0;  
E1.L      =1;  
M2.L      =1;  
W.L       =1;  
  
P1.L      =1;  
P2.L      =1;  
PFX.L     =1;  
PK.L      =1;  
PL.L      =1;  
CONS.L    =200;
```

```
* choose the real consumer price index as numeraire
```

```
PW.FX     =1;
```

*\* check for calibration and starting-value errors*

```
SOE.ITERLIM = 0;  
SOLVE SOE USING MCP;
```

```
SOE.ITERLIM = 2000;  
SOLVE SOE USING MCP;
```

*\* SHOW HOW TO DO MULTIPLE SCENARIOS*

*\* SHOW DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TARIFF AND TRADE COST OF EQUAL RATES*

```
SETS I indexes 25 different gross cost levels /I1*I25/  
      J indexes 2 scenarios: 1 = tariff 2 = trade cost /J1*J2/;
```

### **PARAMETERS**

```
RATE(I)  
WELFARE(I,J)  
IMP2(I,J)  
TRATE(I,J)  
TCOST(I,J)  
RESULTS(I, *);
```

```
LOOP(J,  
LOOP(I,
```

```
TC = 1; TR = 1;
RATE(I) = 1 + .05*ORD(I) - 0.05;
TR$(ORD(J) EQ 1) = RATE(I);
TC$(ORD(J) EQ 2) = RATE(I);
```

```
SOLVE SOE USING MCP;
```

```
WELFARE(I,J) = W.L;
IMP2(I,J) = M2.L/TC;
```

```
);
```

```
);
```

```
RESULTS(I, "RATE") = RATE(I);
RESULTS(I, "WELTR") = WELFARE(I, "J1");
RESULTS(I, "WELTC") = WELFARE(I, "J2");
RESULTS(I, "IMP2TR") = IMP2(I, "J1");
RESULTS(I, "IMP2TC") = IMP2(I, "J2");
```

```
DISPLAY RESULTS;
```

```
* Write parameter RESULTS to an Excel file M8.XLS,
* starting in Sheet1
```

**Execute\_Unload** 'M8.gdx' RESULTS

**execute** 'gdxxrw.exe M8.gdx par=RESULTS rng=SHEET1!'

*\* simpler but less sophisticated dump to excel*

\$LIBINCLUDE XLDUMP RESULTS M8.XLS SHEET2!

### 8.3 Small open economy: calibrating to tariffs in the benchmark

This model has a 20% tariff in the benchmark data. It is important to keep track of prices and trade balance in this situation.

Markets	Production Sectors				Consumer	
	X1	X2	E1	M2	W	CONS
P1	150		-50		-100	
P2		40		60	-100	
PL	-100	-20				120
PK	-50	-20				70
PW					200	-200
PFX			50	-50		
Tariff				-10		10

Trade balance holds, since exports of good 1 generate 50 units of foreign exchange and those 50 units are spent on imports.

Consumers spend 60 on imports of which 10 is the tariff, or 20% of the value of imports (10/50).

The convention we adopt here is that all domestic prices are equal to 1 initially. Since the export good  $X_1$  is freely traded, then the international price of  $X_1$  is also equal to 1.

However, the import good has a domestic price equal to  $(1 + TM_2)$  times the world price, so if the domestic price is equal to 1 then the world price must equal  $1 / (1 + TM_2) = 1/1.2$ .

Thus the -50 in the column M2 of the matrix is interpreted as 60 units at a price of  $1/1.2$ .

The counterfactual experiment is free trade.

\$TITLE: M8-3: Small open economy with a benchmark tariff

\$ONTEXT

*In this example, units are chosen such that all DOMESTIC prices equal one initially. Implied world price of import good*

*X2:  $P2 = 1/1.2$*

	<i>Production Sectors</i>				<i>Consumer</i>		
<i>Markets</i>	/	<i>X1</i>	<i>X2</i>	<i>E1</i>	<i>M2</i>	<i>W</i>	<i>CONS</i>
<i>P1</i>	/	150		-50		-100	
<i>P2</i>	/		40		60	-100	
<i>PL</i>	/	-100	-20				120
<i>PK</i>	/	-50	-20				70
<i>PW</i>	/					200	-200
<i>PFX</i>	/			50	-50		
<i>TARIFF</i>	/				-10		10

\$OFFTEXT

### PARAMETERS

PE2      Export price of good 2  
 PM1      Import price of good 1  
 PE1      Export price of good 1  
 PM2      Import price of good 2



```
TM2      Import tariff for good 2;

PE1      = 1;
PM2      = 1 / (1.2);
PE2      = PM2 * 0.99;
PM1      = 1.01;
TM2      = 0.2;
```

### POSITIVE VARIABLES

```
X1      Activity level for sector X1
X2      Activity level for sector X2
E1      Activity level for sector E1
E2      Activity level for sector E2
M1      Activity level for sector M1
M2      Activity level for sector M2
W       Activity level for sector W (Hicksian welfare index)
P1      Price index for commodity X
P2      Price index for commodity Y
PL      Price index for primary factor L
PK      Price index for primary factor K
PW      Price index for welfare (expenditure function)
PFX     Read exchange rate index
CONS    Income definition for CONS;
```

**EQUATIONS**

PRF\_X1 Zero profit for sector X1  
 PRF\_X2 Zero profit for sector X2  
 PRF\_E1 Zero profit for sector E1  
 PRF\_E2 Zero profit for sector E2  
 PRF\_M1 Zero profit for sector M1  
 PRF\_M2 Zero profit for sector M2  
 PRF\_W Zero profit for sector W (Hicksian welfare index)

MKT\_X1 Supply-demand balance for commodity X1  
 MKT\_X2 Supply-demand balance for commodity X2  
 MKT\_PFX Supply-demand balance for commodity PFX  
 MKT\_L Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_K Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_W Supply-demand balance for aggregate demand

I\_CONS Income definition for CONS;

\* *Zero profit conditions*

PRF\_X1.. 150 \* PL\*\*(2/3) \* PK\*\*(1/3) =G= 150 \* P1;

PRF\_X2.. 40 \* PL\*\*(0.5) \* PK\*\*(0.5) =G= 40 \* P2;

PRF\_E1.. 50 \* P1 =G= 50 \* PFX \* PE1;

$$\text{PRF\_E2..} \quad 60 * P2 =G= 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE2};$$

$$\text{PRF\_M1..} \quad 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM1} =G= 50 * P1;$$

$$\text{PRF\_M2..} \quad 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} * (1+\text{TM2}) =G= 60 * P2;$$

$$\text{PRF\_W..} \quad 200 * P1^{**0.5} * P2^{**0.5} =G= 200 * \text{PW};$$

\* *Market clearance conditions*

$$\text{MKT\_X1..} \quad 150 * X1 + 50 * M1 =G= 50 * E1 + 100 * W * \text{PW}/P1;$$

$$\text{MKT\_X2..} \quad 40 * X2 + 60 * M2 =G= 60 * E2 + 100 * W * \text{PW}/P2 ;$$

$$\text{MKT\_PFX..} \quad 60 * E2 * \text{PE2} + 50 * E1 * \text{PE1} =G= \\ 60 * M2 * \text{PM2} + 50 * \text{PM1} * M1;$$

$$\text{MKT\_W..} \quad 200 * W =G= \text{CONS} / \text{PW};$$

$$\text{MKT\_L..} \quad 120 =G= 100 * X1 * P1/\text{PL} + 20 * X2 * P2/\text{PL};$$

$$\text{MKT\_K..} \quad 70 =G= 50 * X1 * P1/\text{PK} + 20 * X2 * P2/\text{PK};$$

\* *Income balance*

$$\text{I\_CONS..} \quad \text{CONS} =E= 120 * \text{PL} + 70 * \text{PK} + 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} * M2 * \text{TM2};$$

```
MODEL SOETARIFF /PRF_X1.X1, PRF_X2.X2, PRF_E1.E1, PRF_E2.E2,  
                PRF_M1.M1, PRF_M2.M2, PRF_W.W,  
                MKT_X1.P1, MKT_X2.P2, MKT_PFX.PFX, MKT_L.PL,  
                MKT_K.PK, MKT_W.PW, I_CONS.CONS /;
```

\* *Check the benchmark (again):*

```
X1.L =1;  
X2.L =1;  
E2.L =0;  
M1.L =0;  
E1.L =1;  
M2.L =1;  
W.L =1;
```

```
P1.L =1;  
P2.L =1;  
PFX.L =1;  
PK.L =1;  
PW.FX =1;  
PL.L =1;
```

```
CONS.L =200;
```

```
SOETARIFF.ITERLIM = 0;
```

**SOLVE** SOETARIFF USING MCP ;

SOETARIFF.ITERLIM = 2000 ;

**SOLVE** SOETARIFF USING MCP ;

*\* ccounterfactual experiment: free trade*

TM2 = 0 ;

**SOLVE** SOETARIFF USING MCP ;

## 8.4a Small open economy: modeling a quota as an endogenous tax equivalent

This model assumes the same benchmark data as the previous two models, but it assumes that there is a quota limiting imports.

The quota generates a gap between the foreign or world supply price and the domestic demand price for the “rationed” good.

This difference, often referred to as a quota rent (it is a form of Ricardian rent), must go to some agent.

In this model, we model this as a “tariff equivalent”.

The size of the tariff equivalent is endogenous, set so that the level of imports is less than or equal to the quota level.

We use an auxiliary variable, PQ, for an endogenous tax rate and a constraint equation to set the value of this “tax”.

The revenue from this tax is assigned to the representative consumer in the block for the import demand for M2.

The constraint equation (A\_PQ) says to set the value of the tax PQ such that the activity level for imports (calibrated to be 1 initially) is less than or equal to 1.

$$A\_PQ.. \quad 1 = G = M2;$$

And “tax” revenue (quota rent) is returned to the consumer.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CONS} = E = & 120 * \text{ENDOW} * \text{PL} + 70 * \text{ENDOW} * \text{PK} \\ & + 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} * \text{M2} * \text{PQ}; \end{aligned}$$

Units are chosen such that all domestic prices equal 1. The counterfactual experiment is to set remove the quota.

This is done with the statement  $PQ.FX = 0$ ; since PQ is a variable, not a parameter.

An alternative way to do this is to declare a parameter and use it in place of the '1' on the left-hand side of the constraint equation. Then the quota can be set at any level.

The value of PQ can be thought of as the tariff equivalent of the quota or the "shadow tariff". To reintroduce the quota, we must free up PQ with two statements:

$$PQ.L = 0;$$

$$PQ.UP = +INF;$$

The second counterfactual is to triple the size of the economy.



\$TITLE M8-4a.GMS: Small open economy with a benchmark quota  
 \* modeled as an endogenous tax rate

\$ONTEXT

*In this example, units are chosen such that all DOMESTIC prices equal one initially. Implied world price of import good X2:  $P2 = 1/1.2$*

	Production Sectors				Consumer		
Markets	/	X1	X2	E1	M2	W	CONS
P1	/	150		-50		-100	
P2	/		40		60	-100	
PL	/	-100	-20				120
PK	/	-50	-20				70
PW	/					200	-200
PFX	/			50	-50		
Q (quota rent)	/				-10		10

\$OFFTEXT

**PARAMETERS**

PE2      Export price of good 2  
 PM1      Import price of good 1  
 PE1      Export price of good 1

PM2        Import price of good 2  
 ENDOW     Endowment multiplier (size of the economy);

PE1        = 1;  
 PM2        = 1 / (1.2);  
 PE2        = PM2 \* 0.99;  
 PM1        = 1.01;  
 ENDOW     = 1;

#### **NONNEGATIVE VARIABLES**

X1        Activity level for sector X1,  
 X2        Activity level for sector X2,  
 E1        Activity level for sector E1,  
 E2        Activity level for sector E2,  
 M1        Activity level for sector M1,  
 M2        Activity level for sector M2,  
 W        Activity level for sector W,  
 P1        Price index for commodity X,  
 P2        Price index for commodity Y,  
 PL        Price index for primary factor L,  
 PK        Price index for primary factor K,  
 PW        Price index for welfare (expenditure function),  
 PFX      Read exchange rate index,  
 CONS     Income definition for CONS  
 PQ        Quota shadow price (ad valorem tariff equivalent);

**EQUATIONS**

PRF\_X1 Zero profit for sector X1  
 PRF\_X2 Zero profit for sector X2  
 PRF\_E1 Zero profit for sector E1  
 PRF\_E2 Zero profit for sector E2  
 PRF\_M1 Zero profit for sector M1  
 PRF\_M2 Zero profit for sector M2  
 PRF\_W Zero profit for sector W

MKT\_X1 Supply-demand balance for commodity X1  
 MKT\_X2 Supply-demand balance for commodity X2  
 MKT\_PFX Supply-demand balance for commodity PFX  
 MKT\_L Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_K Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_W Supply-demand balance for aggregate demand

I\_CONS Income definition for CONS  
 A\_PQ Quota auxiliary (sets endogenous shadow tax PQ);

\* *Zero profit conditions*

PRF\_X1.. 150 \* PL\*\*(2/3) \* PK\*\*(1/3) =G= 150\*P1;

PRF\_X2.. 40 \* PL\*\*(0.5) \* PK\*\*(0.5) =G= 40\*P2;

PRF\_E1.. 50 \* P1 =G= 50 \* PFX \* PE1;

$$\text{PRF\_E2.. } 60 * P2 =G= 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE2};$$

$$\text{PRF\_M1.. } 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM1} =G= 50 * P1;$$

$$\text{PRF\_M2.. } 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} * (1+\text{PQ}) =G= 60 * P2;$$

$$\text{PRF\_W.. } 200 * P1^{**0.5} * P2^{**0.5} =G= 200 * \text{PW};$$

\* *Market clearance conditions*

$$\text{MKT\_X1.. } 150 * X1 + 50 * M1 =G= 50 * E1 + 100 * W * \text{PW} / P1;$$

$$\text{MKT\_X2.. } 40 * X2 + 60 * M2 =G= 60 * E2 + 100 * W * \text{PW} / P2 ;$$

$$\text{MKT\_PFX.. } 60 * E2 * \text{PE2} + 50 * E1 * \text{PE1} =G= 60 * M2 * \text{PM2} + 50 * \text{PM1} * M1;$$

$$\text{MKT\_W.. } 200 * W =G= \text{CONS} / \text{PW};$$

$$\text{MKT\_L.. } 120 * \text{ENDOW} =G= 100 * X1 * P1 / \text{PL} + 20 * X2 * P2 / \text{PL};$$

$$\text{MKT\_K.. } 70 * \text{ENDOW} =G= 50 * X1 * P1 / \text{PK} + 20 * X2 * P2 / \text{PK};$$

\* *Income balance*

$$\text{I\_CONS.. } \text{CONS} =E= 120 * \text{ENDOW} * \text{PL} + 70 * \text{ENDOW} * \text{PK} + 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} * M2 * \text{PQ};$$

```
A_PQ..      1 =G= M2;
```

```
MODEL SOE2 /PRF_X1.X1, PRF_X2.X2, PRF_E1.E1, PRF_E2.E2,  
            PRF_M1.M1, PRF_M2.M2,  
            PRF_W.W, MKT_X1.P1, MKT_X2.P2, MKT_PFX.PFX,  
            MKT_L.PL, MKT_K.PK, MKT_W.PW,  
            I_CONS.CONS, A_PQ.PQ /;
```

```
*      Check the benchmark (again):
```

```
X1.L      =1;
```

```
X2.L      =1;
```

```
E2.L      =0;
```

```
M1.L      =0;
```

```
E1.L      =1;
```

```
M2.L      =1;
```

```
W.L       =1;
```

```
P1.L      =1;
```

```
P2.L      =1;
```

```
PFX.L     =1;
```

```
PK.L      =1;
```

```
PW.FX     =1;
```

```
PL.L      =1;
```

CONS.L = 200;

PQ.L = 0.20;

SOE2.ITERLIM = 0;

**SOLVE** SOE2 USING MCP;

SOE2.ITERLIM = 2000;

**SOLVE** SOE2 USING MCP;

*\* counterfactual: fixed PQ = 0 to calculate free trade*

PQ.FX = 0;

**SOLVE** SOE2 USING MCP;

*\* show that the quota becomes more restrictive*

*\* as the economy grows*

PQ.LO = 0;

PQ.UP = +**INF**;

ENDOW = 3;

**SOLVE** SOE2 USING MCP;

*\* show what would happen if there had been a fixed 0.20 tariff*

*\* instead of the quota*

```
PQ.FX = 0.20;  
SOLVE SOE2 USING MCP;
```

```
PQ.LO = 0;  
PQ.UP = +INF;
```

*\* show what would happen if the economy were smaller than the benchmark*

```
ENDOW = 0.25;  
SOLVE SOE2 USING MCP;
```

## 8.4b Small open economy: modeling a quota as a supply/demand for licenses

This is the same exercise as the previous M8.4a, except it shows an alternative way of modeling a quota or indeed any quantitative restriction.

This is to assume that the quota is enforced by licences sold to importers.

The government might auction them off, for example (which does happen in practice for certain licenses and permits).

We will assume that the government returns the receipt lump sum to the representative consumer.



Now, instead of an auxiliary variable and constraint equation, we have an added variable PLIC, the price of a license,

and a market clearing equation MKT\_LIC which equates the exogenous supply of licences (SLIC) to the demand for them.

#### PARAMETER

SLIC      Supply of import licenses for X2;

#### NON-NEGATIVE VARIABLE

PLIC      Price of a license;

#### EQUATION

MKT\_LIC    Market for import licenses;

PRF\_M2..     $60 \cdot \text{PLIC} + 60 \cdot \text{PFX} \cdot \text{PM2} = \text{G} = 60 \cdot \text{P2};$

MKT\_LIC..     $60 \cdot \text{SLIC} = \text{G} = 60 \cdot \text{M2};$

I\_CONS..     $\text{CONS} = \text{E} = 120 \cdot \text{ENDOW} \cdot \text{PL} + 70 \cdot \text{ENDOW} \cdot \text{PK} + 60 \cdot \text{PLIC} \cdot \text{SLIC};$

\$TITLE M8-4b: Small open economy with a benchmark quota  
 \* *modeled as supply/demand for import licenses*

\$ONTEXT

*In this example, units are chosen such that all DOMESTIC prices equal one initially.*

*Implied world price of import good X2  $P2 = 1/1.2$*

	<i>Production Sectors</i>				<i>Consumer</i>		
<i>Markets</i>	/	<i>X1</i>	<i>X2</i>	<i>E1</i>	<i>M2</i>	<i>W</i>	<i>CONS</i>
<i>P1</i>	/	150		-50		-100	
<i>P2</i>	/		40		60	-100	
<i>PL</i>	/	-100	-20				120
<i>PK</i>	/	-50	-20				70
<i>PW</i>	/					200	-200
<i>PFX</i>	/			50	-50		
<i>PLIC</i>	/				-10		10

\$OFFTEXT

### PARAMETERS

PE2      Export price of good 2

PM1        Import price of good 1  
 PE1        Export price of good 1  
 PM2        Import price of good 2  
 SLIC       Supply of import licenses for X2 (M2)  
 ENDOW     Endowment multiplier (size of the economy);

PE1        = 1;  
 PM2        = 1 / (1.2);  
 PE2        = PM2 \* 0.99;  
 PM1        = 1.01;  
 SLIC       = 1;  
 ENDOW     = 1;

### **NONNEGATIVE VARIABLES**

X1        Activity level for sector X1,  
 X2        Activity level for sector X2,  
 E1        Activity level for sector E1,  
 E2        Activity level for sector E2,  
 M1        Activity level for sector M1,  
 M2        Activity level for sector M2,  
 W        Activity level for sector W,  
 P1        Price index for commodity X,  
 P2        Price index for commodity Y,  
 PL        Price index for primary factor L,  
 PK        Price index for primary factor K,  
 PW        Price index for welfare (expenditure function),

PFX        Read exchange rate index,  
 CONS       Income definition for CONS  
 PLIC       Price of a license (ad valorem tariff equivalent);

## EQUATIONS

PRF\_X1    Zero profit for sector X1  
 PRF\_X2    Zero profit for sector X2  
 PRF\_E1    Zero profit for sector E1  
 PRF\_E2    Zero profit for sector E2  
 PRF\_M1    Zero profit for sector M1  
 PRF\_M2    Zero profit for sector M2  
 PRF\_W     Zero profit for sector W

MKT\_X1    Supply-demand balance for commodity X1  
 MKT\_X2    Supply-demand balance for commodity X2  
 MKT\_PFX   Supply-demand balance for commodity PFX  
 MKT\_L     Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_K     Supply-demand balance for primary factor L  
 MKT\_W     Supply-demand balance for aggregate demand

I\_CONS    Income definition for CONS  
 MKT\_LIC   Market for import licenses;

\*            *Zero profit conditions*

PRF\_X1..     $150 * PL^{**}(2/3) * PK^{**}(1/3) =G= 150 * P1;$

$$\text{PRF\_X2..} \quad 40 * \text{PL}^{**}(0.5) * \text{PK}^{**}(0.5) =G= 40 * \text{P2};$$

$$\text{PRF\_E1..} \quad 50 * \text{P1} =G= 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE1};$$

$$\text{PRF\_E2..} \quad 60 * \text{P2} =G= 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PE2};$$

$$\text{PRF\_M1..} \quad 50 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM1} =G= 50 * \text{P1};$$

$$\text{PRF\_M2..} \quad 60 * \text{PLIC} + 60 * \text{PFX} * \text{PM2} =G= 60 * \text{P2};$$

$$\text{PRF\_W..} \quad 200 * \text{P1}^{**}0.5 * \text{P2}^{**}0.5 =G= 200 * \text{PW};$$

\* *Market clearance conditions*

$$\text{MKT\_X1..} \quad 150 * \text{X1} + 50 * \text{M1} =G= 50 * \text{E1} + 100 * \text{W} * \text{PW} / \text{P1};$$

$$\text{MKT\_X2..} \quad 40 * \text{X2} + 60 * \text{M2} =G= 60 * \text{E2} + 100 * \text{W} * \text{PW} / \text{P2} ;$$

$$\text{MKT\_PFX..} \quad 60 * \text{E2} * \text{PE2} + 50 * \text{E1} * \text{PE1} =G= 60 * \text{M2} * \text{PM2} + 50 * \text{PM1} * \text{M1};$$

$$\text{MKT\_W..} \quad 200 * \text{W} =G= \text{CONS} / \text{PW};$$

$$\text{MKT\_L..} \quad 120 * \text{ENDOW} =G= 100 * \text{X1} * \text{P1} / \text{PL} + 20 * \text{X2} * \text{P2} / \text{PL};$$

$$\text{MKT\_K..} \quad 70 * \text{ENDOW} =G= 50 * \text{X1} * \text{P1} / \text{PK} + 20 * \text{X2} * \text{P2} / \text{PK};$$

```
MKT_LIC..    60*SLIC =G= 60*M2;
```

```
*           Income balance
```

```
I_CONS..    CONS =E= 120*ENDOW*PL + 70*ENDOW*PK + 60*PLIC*SLIC;
```

```
MODEL ALGEBRAIC /PRF_X1.X1, PRF_X2.X2, PRF_E1.E1, PRF_E2.E2,  
                PRF_M1.M1, PRF_M2.M2,  
                PRF_W.W, MKT_X1.P1, MKT_X2.P2, MKT_PFX.PFX,  
                MKT_L.PL, MKT_K.PK, MKT_W.PW,  
                MKT_LIC.PLIC, I_CONS.CONS/;
```

```
*           Check the benchmark:
```

```
X1.L        =1;
```

```
X2.L        =1;
```

```
E2.L        =0;
```

```
M1.L        =0;
```

```
E1.L        =1;
```

```
M2.L        =1;
```

```
W.L         =1;
```

```
P1.L        =1;
```

```
P2.L        =1;
```

```
PFX.L = 1;  
PK.L = 1;  
PW.FX = 1;  
PL.L = 1;
```

```
CONS.L = 200;
```

```
PLIC.L = 1/6;
```

```
ALGEBRAIC.ITERLIM = 0;  
SOLVE ALGEBRAIC USING MCP;
```

```
ALGEBRAIC.ITERLIM = 2000;  
SOLVE ALGEBRAIC USING MCP;
```

*\* show what happens if the supply of licenses is greatly expanded*

```
SLIC = 5;  
SOLVE ALGEBRAIC USING MCP;
```

*\* show that the quota becomes more restrictive as  
\* the economy grows*

```
SLIC = 1;  
ENDOW = 3;  
SOLVE ALGEBRAIC USING MCP;
```