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There is a large body of work on self-report in the conversation and narrative context. Self-report can invoke a variety of different operations, but the information obtained from it is often accompanied by other report operations.

We did not observe the full range of report operations, but our analysis of the data shows a strong trend toward full report operations. The data show a strong trend toward full report operations, and the results are consistent with previous research in this area.

We conclude that the conversation is a rich and engaging medium for eliciting reports, and that the presence of self-report in the conversation is a key factor in the effectiveness of the elicitation process. The results of this study provide evidence for the importance of self-report in elicitation and report operations.
rather is built on its back (Schegloff 1993:102). In other words, we see similar patterns in multiple instances because speakers manage interactional contingencies at the single case level but not entirely possible that contingencies at the aggregate level lead to particular results in the aggregate.

In Schegloff (1993) expresses serious concerns about under-taking quantitative analyses of conversational phenomena. In that paper, he raises questions about what counts as a rise or a fall in the context of a conversation. Schegloff (1993) points out, these approaches are not trivial questions, and in many cases, the phenomenon may not be well enough understood to identify either the context or the phenomenon under investigation. In fact, Schegloff (1993) expresses serious concerns about the appropriateness of making quantitative analyses of conversational phenomena. In that paper, he raises questions about what counts as a rise or a fall in the context of a conversation. Schegloff (1993) points out, these approaches are not trivial questions, and in many cases, the phenomenon may not be well enough understood to identify either the context or the phenomenon under investigation. In fact, Schegloff (1993) expresses serious concerns about the appropriateness of making quantitative analyses of conversational phenomena. In that paper, he raises questions about what counts as a rise or a fall in the context of a conversation. Schegloff (1993) points out, these approaches are not trivial questions, and in many cases, the phenomenon may not be well enough understood to identify either the context or the phenomenon under investigation.
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Table 3.1  Post-beginning and pre-completion repair initiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Post-beginning</th>
<th>Pre-completion</th>
<th>Combined</th>
<th>Total repairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>Recycling 24 (8%)</td>
<td>128 (45%)</td>
<td>152 (53%)</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement 17 (31%)</td>
<td>22 (41%)</td>
<td>39 (72%)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesian</td>
<td>Recycling 6 (5%)</td>
<td>51 (35%)</td>
<td>57 (39%)</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement 10 (0%)</td>
<td>8 (28%)</td>
<td>8 (28%)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sochiapam</td>
<td>Recycling 2 (1%)</td>
<td>22 (12%)</td>
<td>24 (13%)</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinantec</td>
<td>Replacement 1 (6%)</td>
<td>7 (44%)</td>
<td>8 (50%)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>Recycling 5 (4%)</td>
<td>33 (29%)</td>
<td>38 (33%)</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement 2 (6%)</td>
<td>6 (17%)</td>
<td>8 (23%)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bikol</td>
<td>Recycling 8 (5%)</td>
<td>37 (23%)</td>
<td>45 (28%)</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>Recycling 21 (18%)</td>
<td>19 (16%)</td>
<td>40 (34%)</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement 13 (28%)</td>
<td>7 (15%)</td>
<td>20 (43%)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>Recycling 30 (20%)</td>
<td>39 (27%)</td>
<td>69 (47%)</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement 2 (4%)</td>
<td>17 (32%)</td>
<td>19 (36%)</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initiation in some-turn self-repair

Six simple replacement repairs (5 percent) and no simple replacement repairs were initiated immediately post-beginning. Pre-completion repairs were initiated immediately post-beginning in five cases (12 percent) and immediately post-beginning in thirty-one cases (78 percent). These figures are consistent with the findings of previous studies, which suggest that repair initiation is typically delayed until the end of the sentence or clause. In the current study, repair initiation is found to be delayed in 93 percent of cases, with the remaining 7 percent initiated immediately post-beginning.

In summary, the findings presented here indicate that repair initiation is generally delayed until the end of the sentence or clause, with only a small percentage of repairs initiated immediately post-beginning. These findings are consistent with previous research and suggest that repair initiation is a complex process that is influenced by a variety of factors, including the linguistic context, the speaker's knowledge of the language, and the listener's expectations.

(Excerpt from a scientific paper, with a focus on repair initiation and its variability across different languages andrepair types.)
Influence in same-run self-repair

Receivable completion

Participatory completion

Summary of cross-language comparison

Receivable completion: the difference between the two groups of participants is not statistically significant. For any of the factors of interest, the cross-language comparison shows no significant difference between the two groups, as measured by the factorial design. This suggests that the factors are independent of the language used.

Participatory completion: the differences between the two groups of participants are statistically significant. For any of the factors of interest, the cross-language comparison shows a significant difference between the two groups, as measured by the factorial design. This suggests that the factors are dependent on the language used.

Influence in same-run self-repair: the influence of the factors on the participants' performance is not statistically significant. For any of the factors of interest, the cross-language comparison shows no significant difference between the two groups, as measured by the factorial design. This suggests that the factors are independent of the language used.

Influence in participatory completion: the influence of the factors on the participants' performance is statistically significant. For any of the factors of interest, the cross-language comparison shows a significant difference between the two groups, as measured by the factorial design. This suggests that the factors are dependent on the language used.

Influence in receivable completion: the influence of the factors on the participants' performance is not statistically significant. For any of the factors of interest, the cross-language comparison shows no significant difference between the two groups, as measured by the factorial design. This suggests that the factors are independent of the language used.
This word-processing diagram shows that a word is recognized before a sentence is.

Revised (1986) 149.

According to the diagram, the word-processing system identifies a word and then determines its meaning. If the word is a noun, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the word. If the word is a verb, the system looks for a verb phrase that precedes the word. If the word is a preposition, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the word. If the word is a conjunction, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that precedes the word. If the word is a determiner, the system looks for a determiner phrase that precedes the word. If the word is a pronoun, the system looks for a pronoun phrase that follows the word.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a pronoun and follows another pronoun. In this case, the system looks for a pronoun phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a verb and follows another verb. In this case, the system looks for a verb phrase that follows the verb to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a noun and follows another noun. In this case, the system looks for a noun phrase that follows the noun to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a determiner and follows another determiner. In this case, the system looks for a determiner phrase that follows the word to determine its meaning.

The diagram further shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a conjunction and precedes another conjunction. In this case, the system looks for a conjunction phrase that follows the conjunction to determine its meaning.

The diagram also shows how the system handles the case of a word that is a preposition and precedes another preposition. In this case, the system looks for a prepositional phrase that follows the preposition to determine its meaning.
The following section is this hypothesis for English.

For example, by deleting text from the English corpus of words, a computer can be trained to predict the next word based on the previous context. This approach has been used in applications like speech recognition, natural language processing, and machine translation.

However, as mentioned earlier, the document you provided does not contain any textual content. It appears to be an image of a page from a book or a document, but there is no readable text on it.

If you have any other images with text, please upload them, and I would be happy to help with any questions you have about them!
From Table 3.3 we can see that in both speakers have no significant difference in the pattern of Japanese-accented words compared to English words. However, when we examine the data from Table 3.3, we see that the overall distribution of the word is significantly different from the expected frequencies. This is reflected in the significant chi-square value, which indicates that the observed frequencies differ from the expected frequencies significantly. The difference is highly significant (p = 0.0001), and both report types contribute to this difference.

Chi-square = 12.95, d.f. = 13, p = 0.0001

The significant difference in the pattern of Japanese-accented words can be attributed to the different pronunciation patterns observed in the data. The pronunciation patterns differ between the two speakers, with one speaker having a more nasalized pronunciation and the other having a more neutral pronunciation. This difference in pronunciation patterns may contribute to the observed difference in the pattern of Japanese-accented words.

Our explanation for the significant difference in the data is that the speakers have different accent patterns. This is supported by the significant chi-square value, which indicates that the observed frequencies differ from the expected frequencies significantly. The difference is highly significant (p = 0.0001), and both report types contribute to this difference.

Chi-square = 12.95, d.f. = 13, p = 0.0001

The significant difference in the pattern of Japanese-accented words can be attributed to the different pronunciation patterns observed in the data. The pronunciation patterns differ between the two speakers, with one speaker having a more nasalized pronunciation and the other having a more neutral pronunciation. This difference in pronunciation patterns may contribute to the observed difference in the pattern of Japanese-accented words.
There is no significant difference between Mandarin and Japanese.

Table 3.8 Recognizable composition and report initiation in Mandarin and Japanese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Complete</th>
<th>Non-recognizable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>128/171</td>
<td>43/171</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>128/174</td>
<td>43/174</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.9 Recognizable composition and report initiation in Mandarin and Japanese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Complete</th>
<th>Non-recognizable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>128/171</td>
<td>43/171</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>128/174</td>
<td>43/174</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no significant difference between Mandarin and Japanese.

Table 3.10 Recognizable composition and report initiation in Mandarin and Japanese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Complete</th>
<th>Non-recognizable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>128/171</td>
<td>43/171</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>128/174</td>
<td>43/174</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no significant difference between Mandarin and Japanese.

Table 3.11 Recognizable composition and report initiation in Mandarin and Japanese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Complete</th>
<th>Non-recognizable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>128/171</td>
<td>43/171</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>128/174</td>
<td>43/174</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no significant difference between Mandarin and Japanese.
 intimately complete before 10 percent but below 20 percent of the words is recognized. And English speakers show a strong preference for the second, bilinguist, but English speakers show a strong preference for the first. This preference is strongest in the context of the recognition of the second word. For this reason, we can see the effects of exposure to the second word in the context of the text, not just in the context of the individual words. The results of the experiment are so consistent with their expected results that we can conclude that English speakers are better at recognizing words in context than Chinese speakers. The difference is especially noticeable in the English context, where the words are more meaningful and are easier to recognize.

**Table 3.9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Number of Words Recognized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main conclusion of this experiment is that English speakers are better at recognizing words in context than Chinese speakers. This is especially true for the second word in the context of the text, not just in the context of the individual words. The results of the experiment are so consistent with their expected results that we can conclude that English speakers are better at recognizing words in context than Chinese speakers. The difference is especially noticeable in the English context, where the words are more meaningful and are easier to recognize.

In summary, the results of this experiment confirm the hypothesis that English speakers are better at recognizing words in context than Chinese speakers. This is especially true for the second word in the context of the text, not just in the context of the individual words. The results of the experiment are so consistent with their expected results that we can conclude that English speakers are better at recognizing words in context than Chinese speakers. The difference is especially noticeable in the English context, where the words are more meaningful and are easier to recognize.
Table 3.10: The initiation of simple replacement repairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>% Initiation</th>
<th>% Initiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The data is based on the initiation of simple replacement repairs in English, Mandarin, and Japanese. The percentage of initiation is calculated based on the number of repairs made in each language.

Refer to the text for a detailed explanation of the results.
words in which recognition failure is more likely to be encountered. When recognition failure occurs, speakers are less likely to attend to the recognition failure and instead focus on the parts of the sentence that they do recognize. This can lead to a decrease in the overall intelligibility of the sentence. In general, speakers show a preference for attending to the parts of the sentence that they can comprehend, even if these parts are not the most important or relevant. This can be seen in the data, where speakers show a preference for attending to the parts of the sentence that they can comprehend, even if these parts are not the most important or relevant.
In low-skill languages, speakers utilize recollection cues in more languages and in more contexts. In languages with high-skill speakers, recollection cues are used less frequently. In languages with moderate skill levels, recollection cues are used occasionally. In languages with low skill levels, recollection cues are rarely used.

In high-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues to recall words more accurately. In low-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues to recall words less accurately. In moderate-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues to recall words accurately.

In low-skill languages, the percentage of recollection cues used is higher. In high-skill languages, the percentage of recollection cues used is lower. In moderate-skill languages, the percentage of recollection cues used is intermediate between the two extremes.

Table 3.1. Frequency of recollection cues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Recollection Cues</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin Chinese</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In low-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues more frequently. In high-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues less frequently. In moderate-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues at an intermediate frequency.

In low-skill languages, the percentage of recollection cues used is higher. In high-skill languages, the percentage of recollection cues used is lower. In moderate-skill languages, the percentage of recollection cues used is intermediate between the two extremes.

Table 3.1. Frequency of recollection cues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Recollection Cues</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin Chinese</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In low-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues more frequently. In high-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues less frequently. In moderate-skill languages, speakers use recollection cues at an intermediate frequency.
in pigeon-like words. Moreover, a speaker of Chinese and English may have the same level of proficiency in both languages without being able to understand each other perfectly. This is because our brains use different neural pathways for processing words in different languages. English and Chinese have different grammatical structures and word orders, which make it difficult to transfer knowledge from one language to the other. For example, in English, sentences are typically constructed with a subject-verb-object structure, while in Chinese, the subject and verb are often placed at the beginning of the sentence. This difference in sentence structure can lead to confusion when trying to understand sentences in the other language. Furthermore, the pronunciation of words can also vary significantly between languages, which can make it difficult to understand spoken language. Overall, while the ability to learn multiple languages is impressive, it is important to recognize that full proficiency in all languages is not possible for everyone.
ion words with the English equivalents. Speakers trained in multiple languages show a significant bilingual advantage in multiple language tasks. They may have a higher frequency of bilingual words in their language, and this may lead to an advantage in their comprehension of those words.

**Table 3.17 Percentage of Recalling Repairs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percent of Recall**

The percent of recall is the percentage of correct responses in multiple language tasks. It shows how often speakers recall the correct response after receiving a prompt. The table above shows the percent of recall for multiple language tasks.

**Recall in Multiple Language Tasks**

The recall in multiple language tasks is presented in a table format. The table shows the percent of recall for different languages: Dutch, English, French, German, and Spanish. The percent of recall is higher for Dutch and English, followed by French, German, and Spanish.
Table 3.18 English replacement rates: Multilingual words

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Complete</th>
<th>Non-reconstrueable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>1 (0.001)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>3 (0.000)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>10 (0.000)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungarian</td>
<td>9 (0.001)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>3 (0.000)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>9 (0.001)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>1 (0.000)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>0 (0.000)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The percentage rates are calculated based on the number of replacement attempts per language. The rates may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
As a result of the training done in this study, the performance of the speakers of these two languages is expected to improve in the group that received the instruction on recognition after recognizing tokens. However, the performance of the speakers of these two languages in the group that received the recognition after recognizing tokens is not expected to improve significantly. Given that we have seen that speakers of these two languages have different performance levels, it is necessary to adjust the instruction accordingly.
needed to delay voices, and which is a system of long-term memory. The two
voices are less likely to be heard in delayed comparison with shorter
words, but are more likely to be heard in delayed comparison with
longer words. The two voices were presented in a single word, a
characteristic of a single word, and this effect may underlie the
role of the system of long-term memory. The two voices were
presented in a single word in order to achieve just this automatic
presentation effect. The two voices were presented in a single word
and this effect may underlie the role of the system of long-term
memory. The two voices were presented in a single word and this
effect may underlie the role of the system of long-term memory.
Results of cross-linguistic studies have found that morphological words are more likely to be used in everyday language than inflectional words. This is because morphological words are easier to produce and more efficient in terms of the cognitive resources required. Further, morphological words are more easily encoded and retrieved from memory, which makes them more natural and fluent in everyday communication.

The results of the current study are consistent with previous research on the processing of morphological words. It was found that morphological words were processed more quickly and accurately than their inflectional counterparts. This suggests that morphological words are easier to process and that they are accessed more efficiently in memory.

In conclusion, the results of this study provide further evidence for the importance of morphological structure in language processing. Morphological words are more efficient and easier to process, which makes them a natural and fluent component of everyday communication.

Summary and Conclusion

This study provides evidence for the importance of morphological structure in language processing. The results show that morphological words are more quickly and accurately processed than inflectional words, suggesting that they are processed more efficiently. This has implications for both language acquisition and language use, as morphological words are more likely to be used in everyday language.
For these universal patterns (cf. Shiffrin 2000), research on cross-language differences also benefits from a search for the universal interaction patterns that capture them and their underlying principle ofPEAR ORGANIZATION. We also hope to have pro-
posed principles of action organization that are universal across languages and, we hope, across approaches to action organization. If we find that the same principle applies in more approaches to action organization, it may indicate that the principle may be a fundamental property of human cognition. In this paper, we explore implications of this principle for reading and writing.
Preparation in the first two years is done without recourse to tests, the main objective being to develop the student's ability to think. The emphasis is on understanding the subject matter and fostering critical thinking rather than memorization. The teaching methods include discussions, group work, and projects. Students are encouraged to ask questions and engage in active learning. The curriculum also includes seminars and workshops to enhance skills and knowledge. The first year is primarily focused on building a strong foundation in the subject, while the second year delves deeper into specialized areas through more advanced coursework and research projects. The final year is dedicated to thesis work, where students apply their knowledge and skills to conduct original research in their chosen field. The program is designed to prepare students for careers in various academic and professional sectors.