Optimization and Control of Networks

Architectural Principles of the Internet



Lijun Chen 03/01/2016

The Internet Protocol Stack

- □ TCP/IP was first proposed in 1973
- The specifications are well-known, but the motivation/reasoning behind is not
- The design philosophy has evolved considerably
 - Connectionless services
 - The layering into the IP and TCP layers
- The Internet architecture is still evolving
 - Mainly driven by physical technologies and applications
 - Clean-slate Internet design

The design goals

☐ (0) The top level goal is to connect existing networks

- Was originally connect ARPANET with the ARPA packet radio network
- Was assumed there would be other sorts of networks

☐ (1) Survivability in the face of failure

Should continue to provide communications service, even though networks and components are failing

(2) To support multiple types of services

- Different types are differentiated by QoS requirements in throughput, delay, and reliability
- Mostly provides best-effort services

The design goals

- (3) To accommodate a variety of physical networks
 Important for the success of the Internet architecture
 - Has been successfully met
- ☐ (4) To allow distributed management
 - □ The internet is a federated network of autonomous systems
 - Lack sufficient tools, and usually requires manual configuration and is error-prone
- ☐ (5) To be cost effective
 - □ It is not very, as the Internet is a general purpose network
 - Lots of overhead, retransmission of lost packet

The design goals

- (6) To allow host attachment with a low level of effort
- ☐ (7) To allow accountability
 - Was discussed in the first proposal
 - But mostly not met
 - well-provisioning covers the issue

The design principles

- Layering
- Packet switching
- A network of collaborating networks
- Intelligent end-systems
- End-to-end argument

Layering



Layering

- Each layer offers a service to the next layer above, and implements this service using the services offered by the layer below
 - Offers reduction in complexity
 - Isolation of functionality
 - A way to structure the network protocol designs
- The thin waist the network layer
 - Almost any network provides the service required by the network layer: to deliver packets between neighboring nodes
 - Enables simple interconnection of existing networks (design goal 0)
 - Enables the accommodation of a variety of networks (design goal 3)

Packet switching

Packet switching

- Data has to be split into packets
- Packets carry destination address and traverse the network independently
- High efficient because of statistical multiplexing
- Packets may get dropped (best effort service)
- Allows the use of a stateless routing system at the network layer, which does not require per connection state
 - Ensure scalability and contributes to cost effectiveness (design goal 5)
 - □ Help with survivability (design goal 1)

Network of Collaborating networks

- The Internet is a federated network of autonomous systems
 - Collaborate to provide connectivity
 - Intradomain routing
 - interdomain routing (policy based)
 - Decide the next hop based on information from neighboring nodes
- Ensure suuvivability (design goal 1)
- Allow distributed management (design goal 5)

<u>Intelligent end systems / the end to</u> <u>end argument</u>

- Keep the network dumb and place intelligent at the end system
 - □ The network layer can drop packets
 - □ The end-systems are responsible for reliability
- End to end argument is about placing functionality: Place inside the network rather than end-systems, if
 - All applications need it, or a large number of applications benefit from an increase in performance
 - Reliability is not
- Help ensure survivability (design goal 1) and cost effectiveness (design goal 5)

A few comments

■ The internet fulfills most of original design goals 0-5

- □ The network layer can drop packets
- □ The end-systems are responsible for reliability
- Other add-ons
 - Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) address design goal 6
 - Simple network management protocol (SNMP) and NetFlow address design goal 7

Challenges faced by the Internet

Security: The lack of security is worrisome

- The availability of address to the upper layers (a violation of layering)
- Mobility: Application developers find little support for new mobile applications and services
 - Both logic and physical connections have to be torn down
- Reliability and availability: has to meet increasing user expectations of the Internet crucial role in both business and private life
- Diagnostics: Tools for root cause analysis is limited

Challenges faced by the Internet

- Scalability: The routing system, the address space is running out (IPV4)
- Quality of services: rely on middle boxes and overlays; it is not clear how and where to integrate different levels of QoS into the architecture
- Economics: How the network and services providers continue to make a profit
 - Bit transfer becomes a commodity service with very low marginal profit

Architecture design

Incremental: with incremental patches

- □ Practical, since we have a large infrastructure in use
- Make the systems much more complex and difficult to manage; and well-provisioning enables this and covers the potential problems
- Clean-slate: redesign from the scratch
 - Offer improved and "clean" abstractions and/or improved performance; e.g., all the middle boxes and patches become built-in
 - □ The current Internet can provide insights on how
 - Not practical
 - **E.g.**, Information-centric networking



- D. Clark, The design philosophy of the DARPA Internet protocols, *Proceedings of ACM Sigcomm*, 1988.
- A. Feldmann, Internet clean-slate design: What and why?, ACM Sigcomm Computer and Communication Review, 2007.