

1. Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be an arbitrary set and consider the collection of all subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$  that are countable or have countable complements. Show that this collection is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Use this fact to obtain the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{C} = \{\{x\} : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

**Answer:** (4 points) Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{X} : \#A \leq \#\mathbb{N} \text{ or } \#A^c \leq \#\mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $\#$  indicates cardinality. First, note that  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $\mathbb{X}^c = \emptyset$ , which is countable. Second, if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  then either  $A = (A^c)^c$  or  $A^c$  are countable. That is,  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . Third, if  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have two possible cases -  $A_n$  are all countable, or at least one of these sets is uncountable, say  $A_{n_0}$ . For the first case,  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$  is the countable union of countable sets, hence it is countable and consequently in  $\mathcal{F}$ . For the second case, since  $A_{n_0}$  is uncountable and in  $\mathcal{F}$ , it must be that  $A_{n_0}^c$  is countable. Also,

$$\left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right)^c = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^c \subset A_{n_0}^c.$$

Since subsets of countable sets are countable,  $\left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right)^c$  is countable, and consequently  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra defined above. Since  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Also, if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  either  $A$  or  $A^c$  is countable. Without loss of generality, suppose  $A$  is countable. Then,  $A = \bigcup_{x \in C} \{x\}$  where  $C$  is a countable collection of real numbers. Hence,  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Combining the two set containments we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}$ .

2. Denote by  $B(x, r)$  an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered at  $x$  and with radius  $r$ . Show that the Borel sets are generated by the collection  $B = \{B_r(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0\}$ .

**Answer:** (3 points) Let  $B' = \{B_r(x) : x \in \mathbb{Q}^n, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$ . Then,  $B' \subset B \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  and  $\sigma(B') \subset \sigma(B) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ .

Now, let  $S = \bigcup_{B \in B', B \subset O} B$ . By construction  $x \in S \implies x \in O$ . Now, suppose  $x \in O$ . Then, since  $O$  is open, there exists  $B(x, \epsilon)$  such that  $B(x, \epsilon) \subset O$  where  $\epsilon$  is a rational number. Since  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  is a dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can find  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  such that  $\|x - q\| \leq \epsilon/2$ . Consequently,

$$B(q, \epsilon/2) \subset B(x, \epsilon) \subset O.$$

Hence,  $O \subset S$ . Thus, every open  $O$  can be written as  $O = \bigcup_{B \in B', B \subset O} B$ . Since  $B'$  is a collection of balls with rational radius and rational centers,  $B'$  is countable. Thus,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \subset \sigma(B') \implies \sigma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \subset \sigma(B').$$

Combining this set containment with  $\sigma(B') \subset \sigma(B) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$  completes the proof.

3. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space. Show that: a) if  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , then  $\mu_c(F) := c_1\mu_1(F) + c_2\mu_2(F)$  for  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and all  $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$  is a measure; b) if  $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of positive numbers, then  $\mu_\infty(F) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i \mu_i(F)$  for  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is a measure.

**Answer:** a) (2 points) First, note that  $\mu_c : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  since  $c_1, c_2, \mu_1(F), \mu_2(F) \geq 0$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Second,  $\mu_c(\emptyset) = c_1\mu_1(\emptyset) + c_2\mu_2(\emptyset) = 0$  since  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are measures. Third, if  $\{F_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}$  is a

pairwise disjoint collection of sets,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_c(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i) &= c_1 \mu_1(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i) + c_2 \mu_2(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i) \\ &= c_1 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_1(F_i) + c_2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_2(F_i), \text{ since } \mu_1 \text{ and } \mu_2 \text{ are measures} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (c_1 \mu_1(F_i) + c_2 \mu_2(F_i)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_c(F_i).\end{aligned}$$

b) (3 points) The verification that  $\mu_\infty : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and  $\mu_\infty(\emptyset) = 0$  follows the same arguments as in item a) when examining  $\mu_c$ . For  $\sigma$ -additivity, note that if  $\{F_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}$  is a pairwise disjoint collection of sets,

$$\mu_\infty(\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} F_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu_i(\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} F_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_i(F_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu_i(F_j).$$

If we are able to interchange the sums in the last term, then we can write

$$\mu_\infty(\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} F_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu_i(F_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_\infty(F_j),$$

completing the proof. Now, note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \mu_i(F_j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \mu_i(F_j) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \mu_i(F_j) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} S_{nm}$$

since the partial sums are increasing. Now, if  $S_{nm} \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} S_{nm} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{nm}.$$

Hence, to finish the proof, we require  $\mu_i(F_j) < \infty$ .

4. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra. In this case, we call  $\mathcal{G}$  a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\nu := \mu|_{\mathcal{G}}$  be the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{G}$ . That is,  $\nu(G) = \mu(G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Is  $\nu$  a measure? If  $\mu$  is finite, is  $\nu$  finite? If  $\mu$  is a probability, is  $\nu$  a probability?

**Answer:** (2 points) Since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\nu(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . If  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{G}$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence, we have that  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence,  $\nu(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i) = \mu(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \nu(A_i)$ . Now,  $\mu$  finite means that  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ . Since  $\Omega \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\nu(\Omega) = \mu(\Omega) < \infty$ . The same holds for  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ .

5. Show that a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if, and only if, there exists  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \Omega$  and  $\mu(F_n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ .

**Answer:** (2 points)  $(\Rightarrow)$  By definition,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if there exists an increasing sequence  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \cdots$  such that  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \Omega$  with  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ . Hence, it suffices to let  $F_n = A_n$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $A_n = \cup_{j=1}^n F_j$ . Then,  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots$  and  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} F_j = \Omega$ . Also,  $\mu(A_n) = \mu(\cup_{j=1}^n F_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(F_j) < \infty$  since the sum is finite and  $\mu(F_j) < \infty$ .