# **Financial Econometrics**

Carlos Brunet Martins-Filho

University of Colorado at Boulder

Fall 2021

Lecture 10

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Modeling dependence - Stochastic processes

- A stochastic process is a collection of time indexed random variables. {X<sub>t</sub>(ω) : t ∈ T}, where T is called the index set.
- When T is countable we speak of a discrete process, and when T is uncountable we speak of a continuous process.
- A sample path is a particular realization of the stochastic process through time

► An IID sequence of random variables {X<sub>t</sub>}<sub>t=1,2,...</sub> is a particular example of a stochastic process.

## Stationarity

**Definition:** a) A stochastic process  $\{X_t(\omega) : t \in T\}$  is said to be strictly stationary if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  and h we have that

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}X_{t_1}\\\vdots\\X_{t_n}\end{array}\right) \text{ and } \left(\begin{array}{c}X_{t_1+h}\\\vdots\\X_{t_n+h}\end{array}\right)$$

have the same joint distribution.

b) A stochastic process is covariance stationary if for all  $t_1, t_2$  and h we have  $E(X_{t_1}) = E(X_{t_2})$  and  $cov(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}) = cov(X_{t_1+h}, X_{t_2+h})$ .

#### Remarks on stationarity

• Let 
$$t_2 > t_1$$
 and set  $t_2 = t_1 + h$ . Then,

 $cov(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}) = cov(X_{t_2+h}, X_{t_2})$  depends only on h.

• If  $t_1 = t_2$  then  $V(X_{t_1}) = V(X_{t_1+h})$  for all h.

For a covariance stationary process, E(X<sub>t</sub>) = μ for all t,
 V(X<sub>t</sub>) = σ<sup>2</sup> for all t and

$$cov(X_t, X_{\tau}) = C(X_0, X_{|t-\tau|}) = \gamma(|t-\tau|)$$

where  $\gamma(h)$  is called the autocovariance function.

• If  $T = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$  then  $h = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ . Note that  $\gamma(0) = V(X_t)$  and we can define an autocorrelation function as

$$\rho(h) = rac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(0)}$$
 for  $h = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ 

### Example - White noise process

- A weakly stationary process with γ(h) = 0 for all h = ±1, ±2, · · · is called a (weak) white noise.
- If a process is weakly stationary with γ(h) = 0 for all h = ±1, ±2, ··· and each component is normally distributed than we call the process "Gaussian white noise."
- If {X<sub>t</sub>}<sub>t∈{0,±1,±2,…}</sub> is a stochastic process with E(X<sub>t</sub>|X<sub>t−1</sub>) = µ for all t, then by the LIE

 $E(X_t) = \mu$  for all t.

Furthermore,  $E(X_t X_{t-1}) = E(E(X_t X_{t-1} | X_{t-1})) = E(X_{t-1}E(X_t | X_{t-1})) = \mu^2$  and  $\gamma(1) = 0$ .

▶ In fact, for  $h = \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$  we have  $\gamma(h) = 0$ .

We start by defining the process X<sub>t</sub> as,

$$X_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \ t = 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (1)

where  $\phi_0, \phi_1$  are unknown parameters and  $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t=1,2,,\cdots}$  is a white noise process with  $E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$  and  $V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2$ .

- ► The process {X<sub>t</sub>} is called an autoregressive process of order 1 and we write {X<sub>t</sub>} = AR(1). The order refers to the fact that there is one lag of X<sub>t</sub> on the right hand side of (1).
- $\{X_t\} = AR(p)$  where p is a positive integer if

$$X_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t \ t = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (2)

for unknown parameters  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \cdots , \phi_p$ .

By repeated substitution (say *m* times) of the lag term on the right hand side of (1), we obtain

$$X_t = \phi_0(1 + \phi_1 + \phi_1^2 + \dots + \phi_1^m) + \phi_1^{m+1}X_{t-m-1} + \phi_1^m\varepsilon_{t-m} + \dots + \phi_1\varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

▶ If  $|\phi_1| < 1$  then  $\lim_{m \to \infty} (1 + \phi_1 + \phi_1^2 + \dots + \phi_1^m) = \frac{1}{1 - \phi_1}$  and  $\phi_1^{m+1} \to 0$ . Hence, provided that  $X_t$  is bounded in a probabilistic sense, then

$$X_t = \frac{\phi_0}{1 - \phi_1} + \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^m \phi_1^j \varepsilon_{t-j}.$$
 (3)

We have

$$E(X_t) = \frac{\phi_0}{1 - \phi_1} + E\left(\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^m \phi_1^j \varepsilon_{t-j}\right)$$

It is not always the case that E(·) can be interchanged with a limit operator, but under some regularity conditions (assumed to hold here) this will be possible and we can write

$$E\left(\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{m}\phi_{1}^{j}\varepsilon_{t-j}\right)=\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{m}\phi_{1}^{j}E(\varepsilon_{t-j})=0$$

given that  $\varepsilon_t$  is  $WN(0, \sigma^2)$ .

Hence,

$$E(X_t) = \frac{\phi_0}{1 - \phi_1} \text{ which does not depend on } t. \tag{4}$$

Using similar arguments we obtain

$$V(X_t) = rac{1}{1-\phi_1^2}\sigma^2$$
 which does not depend on  $t$ . (5)

and

$$cov(X_t, X_{t-h}) = \frac{\phi_1^{|h|}}{1 - \phi_1^2} \sigma^2 \text{ for all } h \text{ and } t.$$
 (6)

Equations (4), (5) and (6) show that and AR(1) process with  $|\phi_1| < 1$  is covariance stationary.

▶ In addition, from (4), if we define  $\mu = \frac{\phi_0}{1-\phi_1}$  then  $\phi_0 = \mu - \mu \phi_1$  and

$$X_t = \mu - \mu \phi_1 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \tag{7}$$

$$X_t - \mu = \phi_1(X_{t-1} - \mu) + \varepsilon_t.$$
(8)

Note that the equivalence of (1) and (8) depends on  $\mu$  existing, i.e.,  $\phi_1 \neq 1$  which follows from  $|\phi_1| < 1$ .

If  $\phi_0 = 0$  and  $\phi_1 = 1$ , then  $X_t = X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$  and repeated substitution gives

$$X_t = X_{t-m-1} + \varepsilon_{t-m} + \varepsilon_{t-(m-1)} \cdots + \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$
(9)

Choosing m = t - 1 we have

$$X_t = X_0 + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \dots + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_t = X_0 + \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_j$$
(10)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

which is a random walk with  $E(X_t|X_0) = X_0$  and  $V(X_t|X_0) = t\sigma^2$ .

If 
$$|\phi_1| > 1$$
 (take  $\phi_0 = 0$ ) then  

$$X_t = \phi_1^m X_{t-m} + \phi_1^{m-1} \varepsilon_{t-m+1} + \dots + \phi_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$
(11)

and choosing m = t

$$X_t = \phi_1^t X_0 + \phi_1^{t-1} \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \phi_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$
(12)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

with 
$$E(X_t|X_0) = \phi_1^t X_0$$
 and  
 $V(X_t|X_0) = \left(\phi_1^{2(t-1)} + \dots + \phi_1^2 + 1\right)\sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{\phi_1^{2t}-1}{\phi_1^2-1}$  since  
 $\sum_{i=0}^n r^i = \frac{1-r^{n-1}}{1-r}$  for *n* finite and  $r \neq 0$ . Since,  $|\phi| > 1$  this variance increases geometrically as  $t \to \infty$ .