

Self-commuting lattice polynomial functions

Erkko Lehtonen
joint work with Miguel Couceiro

University of Luxembourg

BLAST 2010
Boulder, CO, 2–6 June 2010

Commuting operations

Let A be an arbitrary set, and n and m positive integers.

We denote $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition

We say that $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ and $g: A^m \rightarrow A$ **commute** if

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1m}), \dots, g(a_{n1}, a_{n2}, \dots, a_{nm})) \\ = g(f(a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{n1}), \dots, f(a_{1m}, a_{2m}, \dots, a_{nm})), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_{ij} \in A$ ($i \in [n]$, $j \in [m]$).

If f and g commute, then we write $f \perp g$.

Commuting operations

In other words, f and g commute if

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \overset{f}{(} & \overset{f}{(} & & \overset{f}{(} & & \overset{f}{(} \\ g(& a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} &) & = & c_1 \\ g(& a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} &) & = & c_2 \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ g(& a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nm} &) & = & c_n \\ & \overset{)} & \overset{)} & & \overset{)} & & & \overset{)} \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel & & & \parallel \\ g(& d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_m &) & = & b \end{array}$$

A particular case ...

For $n = m = 2$, we have $f \perp g$ if

$$f(g(a_{11}, a_{12}), g(a_{21}, a_{22})) = g(f(a_{11}, a_{21}), f(a_{12}, a_{22})).$$

Theorem (Eckmann–Hilton, 1962)

If f and g are binary operations on A with an identity element and $f \perp g$, then $f = g$ and $(A; f)$ is a commutative monoid.

The relevance of commutation in universal algebra:

Commutation is the defining property of:

- 1 entropic algebras,
- 2 modes,
- 3 centralizer clones,
- 4 ...

Self-commuting operations

Let A be an arbitrary set, and n a positive integer.

Definition

An operation $f: A^n \rightarrow A$ is **self-commuting** (or **bisymmetric**) if $f \perp f$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} f(f(a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}), \dots, f(a_{n1}, a_{n2}, \dots, a_{nn})) \\ = f(f(a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{n1}), \dots, f(a_{1n}, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{nn})), \end{aligned}$$

for every $a_{ij} \in A$.

A particular case ...

An algebra $(A; f)$ where f is a binary operation that satisfies the identity

$$f(f(a_{11}, a_{12}), f(a_{21}, a_{22})) = f(f(a_{11}, a_{21}), f(a_{12}, a_{22}))$$

is called a **medial groupoid**.

Thus, the notion of self-commutation generalizes mediality.

Lattice polynomial functions

Let $(L; \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice with least and greatest elements 0 and 1, respectively.

Definition

A (**lattice**) **polynomial function** is any map $p : L^n \rightarrow L$ which is a composition of

- 1 the lattice operations \wedge, \vee ,
- 2 **projections** $\mathbf{x} \mapsto x_i, i \in [n]$, and
- 3 **constant functions** $\mathbf{x} \mapsto c, c \in L$.

Representations: disjunctive normal form

A function $p: L^n \rightarrow L$ has a **disjunctive normal form (DNF)** if

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq [n]} (a_I \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i)$$

for some $a_I \in L$ ($I \subseteq [n]$).

Representations: disjunctive normal form

Proposition (Goodstein 1965)

Let $(L; \wedge, \vee)$ be a bounded **distributive lattice**. A function $p: L^n \rightarrow L$ is a polynomial function **if and only if** it has the **DNF**

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq [n]} (p(\mathbf{e}_I) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i),$$

where for $I \subseteq [n]$, $\mathbf{e}_I \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is the characteristic vector of I :

$$(\mathbf{e}_I)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in I, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin I. \end{cases}$$

A few consequences ...

Corollary

Let L be a bounded distributive lattice. Every polynomial function $p: L^n \rightarrow L$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $\{0, 1\}^n$.

Corollary

Every polynomial function $p: L^n \rightarrow L$ over a bounded distributive lattice L has a **DNF**

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq [n]} (a_I \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i),$$

where $a_I \leq a_J$ whenever $I \subseteq J$.

Our problem

Problem

Explicitly describe the self-commuting lattice polynomial functions.

Sufficient conditions: weighted disjunction

A polynomial function $f: L^n \rightarrow L$ is a **weighted disjunction** if

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_\emptyset \vee \bigvee_{i \in [n]} (a_i \wedge x_i)$$

for some $a_\emptyset, a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$.

Lemma

Let L be a distributive lattice. If $f: L^n \rightarrow L$ is a weighted disjunction, then it is self-commuting.

Sufficient conditions: chain form

We say that $f: L^n \rightarrow L$ has **chain form** if

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_{\emptyset} \vee \bigvee_{i \in [n]} (a_i \wedge x_i) \vee \bigvee_{1 \leq \ell \leq r} (a_{S_\ell} \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in S_\ell} x_i),$$

where $r \geq 1$, $|S_1| \geq 2$, and

- 1 $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r \subseteq [n]$, and
- 2 for all $i \in [n]$, there is a $j \in S_1$ such that $a_i \leq a_j$.

Sufficient conditions: chain form

Lemma

Let L be a distributive lattice. If $f: L^n \rightarrow L$ has chain form, then it is self-commuting.

Corollary

Every binary polynomial function over a distributive lattice is self-commuting.

Example 1

Consider $f: [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $f = (x_1 \wedge x_2) \vee (x_2 \wedge x_3)$.

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} & \overset{f}{\leftarrow} & \overset{f}{\leftarrow} & \overset{f}{\leftarrow} & \\ f(& 0 & 1 & 1 &) = & 1 \\ f(& 1 & 1 & 0 &) = & 1 \\ f(& 0 & 0 & 0 &) = & 0 \\ & \underbrace{\hspace{1em}} & \underbrace{\hspace{1em}} & \underbrace{\hspace{1em}} & & \underbrace{\hspace{1em}} \\ & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel \\ f(& 0 & 1 & 0 &) = & 0 \neq 1 \end{array}$$

Thus f is **not** self-commuting!

Necessary conditions: chain form

We say that $f: L^n \rightarrow L$ has **chain form** if

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_{\emptyset} \vee \bigvee_{i \in [n]} (a_i \wedge x_i) \vee \bigvee_{1 \leq \ell \leq r} (a_{S_\ell} \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in S_\ell} x_i),$$

where $r \geq 1$, $|S_1| \geq 2$, and

- 1 $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_r \subseteq [n]$, and
- 2 for all $i \in [n]$, there is a $j \in S_1$ such that $a_i \leq a_j$.

Example 2

Consider $f: [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $f = (0.5 \wedge x_1) \vee (x_2 \wedge x_3)$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(\overset{f}{(} 0 & 0 & 1 \overset{f}{)}) = & 0 \\ f(\overset{f}{(} 0 & 1 & 0 \overset{f}{)}) = & 0 \\ f(\overset{f}{(} 0 & 1 & 1 \overset{f}{)}) = & 1 \\ & \overset{f}{\parallel} & \overset{f}{\parallel} & \overset{f}{\parallel} \\ f(\overset{f}{(} 0 & 1 & 0.5 \overset{f}{)}) = & 0.5 \neq 0 \end{array}$$

Thus f is **not** self-commuting!

Theorem (Couceiro, Lehtonen 2010)

Let $(L; \wedge, \vee)$ be a **bounded chain**. A polynomial function $f: L^n \rightarrow L$ is self-commuting if and only if

- 1 it is a weighted disjunction, or
- 2 it has chain form.

Open problems

- 1 Determine whether these conditions are still necessary in the general case of distributive lattices.
- 2 Find necessary and sufficient conditions for two lattice polynomial functions to commute.
- 3 Characterize “strongly bisymmetric” lattice polynomial functions, i.e., functions

$$f: \bigcup_{n \geq 1} L^n \rightarrow L$$

such that for all $n \geq 1$, $f_n := f|_{L^n}$ is a lattice polynomial function and for all $n, m \geq 1$, $f_n \perp f_m$.

Thank you for your attention!